arXiv:1010.3450v2 [math.CV] 14 Feb 2011

PARTIAL HOLOMORPHIC CONNECTIONS AND EXTENSION OF FOLIATIONS

ISAIA NISOLI

Abstract. This paper stresses the strong link between the existence of partial holomorphic connections on the normal bundle of a foliation seen as a quotient of the ambient tangent bundle and the extendability of a foliation to an infinitesimal neighborhood of a submanifold. We find the obstructions to extendability and thanks to the theory developed we obtain some new Khanedani-Lehmann-Suwa type index theorems.

Introduction

Localization of characteristic classes is an important tool in differential geometry, topology and dynamics in particular for complex dynamical systems [CaSa]. In this context many different indexes have been developed during the years: among them the Baum-Bott and the Camacho-Sad indexes. A global framework for this theory has been provided by Suwa and Lehmann [Su]: the fundamental principle is that the existence of a flat partial holomorphic connection (called a holomorphic action in [Su]) implies the vanishing of the Chern classes associated to some vector bundles. Suppose we are working on a compact manifold M and we have a partial holomorphic connection outside an analytic subset Σ of M. We can localize these Chern classes to Σ and, using Poincaré and Alexander duality, define the residue of the characteristic class at Σ (a short account of this process is given in section 7).

Now, at least two different research directions arise: to adapt such a theory to singular manifolds and submanifolds [LeSu1], [LeSu2], or to try to develop new vanishing theorems. This paper falls into the second group. As we said such vanishings theorems arise when we have the existence of partial holomorphic connections; this is the case when we have a holomorphic foliation which leaves a submanifold S invariant. This gives rise to index theorems for $N_{\mathcal{F}|_S}$, the normal bundle of the foliation seen as a quotient of the tangent bundle of the submanifold (Baum-Bott index), N_S, the normal bundle to the submanifold (Camacho-Sad index) and $N_{\mathcal{F}}|_S$ the normal bundle of the foliation seen as a quotient of the ambient tangent bundle restricted to S (Kahnedani-Lehmann-Suwa or variation index [KaSu],[LeSu1]). The fundamental reference on all these topics is [Su].

The same tecniques allow to prove other index theorems, even if the holomorphic foliation is transverse to the submanifold, as the index theorem for the bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, N_S)$, which gives rise to the tangential index [Ho].

In the last years, a new theory was developed also for endomorphisms of a complex manifold leaving a submanifold pointwise invariant [ABT] and the case of foliation transverse to a submanifold in the Camacho-Sad and Baum-Bott case [ABT2],[Ca],[CaLe],[CaMoSa]. The key to the existence of partial holomorphic connections is the vanishing of the Atiyah class, a cohomological obstruction to the

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37F75; Secondary 32S65 32A27.

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic foliations, Singularities of holomorphic foliations, Index theorems, Extension of foliations, Atiyah sheaf, Localization of characteristic classes, Partial holomorphic foliations.

splitting of a short exact sequence of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules [Ati]. In the paper [ABT2] the Atiyah sheaf for the normal bundle of a submanifold was described in a more concrete way, giving new insights to the problem. Further developments as [ABT3] showed the strong connection between the existence of partial holomorphic connections for N_S and the "regularity" of the embedding of a subvariety.

In section 2 of this paper we find a more concrete realization of the Atiyah sheaf for the normal bundle of a foliation seen as a quotient of the ambient tangent bundle and study some sufficient conditions for the existence of a more general variation action. First of all in section 1 we define what a foliation of the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood of a submanifold is and prove some Frobenius type theorems for such foliations, which give us the possibility of choosing atlases with some particular structure; in these special atlases, it is clear that the existence of a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood is the key to the existence of partial holomorphic connections on the normal bundle of a foliation seen as a quotient of the ambient tangent bundle. Therefore, to generalize the variation index we have to find foliations of the first infinitesimal neighborhood: with this aim we study the problem of how to "project" a transversal foliation to a tangential one, using first order splitting (section 3) and how to extend a foliation of a submanifold S to an infinitesimal neighborhood (section 4). Moreover, thanks to the new realization of the Atiyah sheaf, we develop in section 5 a result about non-involutive subsheaves of $\mathcal{T}_S$ which extend to the first infinitesimal neighborhood. This gives us informations about vanishing of the characteristic classes of the involutive closure of their restriction to S (the smallest involutive subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_S$ containing it) and some more results regarding the extension problem. Thanks to the machinery developed we can then prove some new index theorems, generalizing the Khanedani-Lehmann-Suwa action and compute their indexes is some simple cases.

Acknowledgements. The article is part of the author's Ph. D. thesis work and he would like to thank Professor Marco Abate, his advisor, for his thoughtful guide and many useful advices and hints, Professor Tatsuo Suwa for many precious conversations, his patience and his wisdom, and Professor Filippo Bracci for an important suggestion. The author would like to thank Prof. Kioji Saito and the Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, Kashiwa, Japan and the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy for the warm hospitality and wonderful research enviroments offered to him. The author would like also to thank GNSAGA, for the help in funding his mission to Japan.

Notation and conventions

In this paper we are going to use the Einstein summation convention. To ease the understanding of the computations the indexes are going to have a fixed range. In this paper, M is a n-dimensional complex manifold, S a complex subvariety of codimension m and $\mathcal{F}$ a dimension l holomorphic foliation of either M or S, with $l \leq n-m$. Then the indexes are going to have the following range:

- h, k will range in $1, \ldots, n$, these are the indexes relative to the coordinate system of M;
- p, q will range in $m+1, \ldots, n$, in an atlas adapted to S (see definition 0.1); these are the indexes relative to the coordinates along S;
- r, s will range in $1, \ldots, m$, in an atlas adapted to S; these are the indexes relative to the coordinates normal to S;
- i, j will range in $m+1, \ldots, m+l$, in an atlas adapted to $\mathcal{F}$ (see definition 1.8); these are the indexes relative to the coordinates along $\mathcal{F}$;
- u, v will range in $1, \ldots, m, m+l+1, \ldots, n$, in an atlas adapted to $\mathcal{F}$; these are the the indexes relative to the coordinates normal to $\mathcal{F}$.

In case we shall need more indexes of each type, we shall prime $'$ them or put a subscript, e.g. r_1. We shall denote by $\mathcal{O}_M$ the structure sheaf of holomorphic functions on M, by $\mathcal{I}_S$ the ideal sheaf of a subvariety S and by $\mathcal{I}_S^k$ its k-th power as an ideal. If f is an element of $\mathcal{O}_M$ we will denote by $[f]_{k+1}$ its image in $\mathcal{O}_{S(k)} := \mathcal{O}_M / \mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$. Moreover we denote by $\mathcal{T}_M$ and $\mathcal{T}_S$ the tangent sheaves to M and S respectively, where defined. The following is a definition we will use through the whole paper.

Definition 0.1. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an atlas for M. We say that $\mathcal{U}$ is **adapted to** S if on each coordinate neighborhood $(U_\alpha, z_\alpha^1, \dots, z_\alpha^n)$ such that $U \cap S$ is not empty, we have that $S \cap U_\alpha = \{z_\alpha^1 = \ldots = z_\alpha^m = 0\}$, where m is the codimension of S.

1. Foliations of k-th infinitesimal neighborhoods

In this section we shall define and develop a theory for foliations of k-th infinitesimal neighborhoods. We are going to use the notion of logarithmic vectors field, introduced in [Sa]. The sheaf of these vector fields can behave badly if the subvariety S they leave invariant is non regular. In the rest of the section S is assumed to be a submanifold.

Definition 1.1. The **k-th infinitesimal neighborhood** of a complex submanifold S is the ringed space $(S, \mathcal{O}_{S(k)})$, where by $\mathcal{O}_{S(k)}$ we denote the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{O}_M / \mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$.

Definition 1.2. A section v of $\mathcal{T}_M$ is called **logarithmic** if $v(\mathcal{I}_S) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_S$. The sheaf $\mathcal{T}_M(\log S) := \{v \in \mathcal{T}_M \mid v(\mathcal{I}_S) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_S\}$ is called the **sheaf of logarithmic sections** and is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_M$. The **tangent sheaf of the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood**, denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$, is the image of the sheaf homomorphism $\mathcal{T}_M(\log S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathcal{O}_{S(k)} \to \mathcal{T}_M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathcal{O}_{S(k)}$ and is a sheaf on S. We will say that a section $v \in \mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$ is **tangential to the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood**.

Remark 1.3. If a point x does not belong to S, the stalk $\mathcal{T}_M(\log S)_x$ coincides with $\mathcal{T}_{M,x}$. Suppose we have an atlas adapted to S, if $x \in S$ the stalk $\mathcal{T}_M(\log S)_x$ is generated by

$$z^r \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p}.$$

Then a section v of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$ is written locally as:

$$v = [a^r]_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r} + [a^p]_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p},$$

where the a^r belong to $\mathcal{I}_S$.

Remark 1.4. In the following, given a section v of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$ and an open set U_α of M intersecting S, we shall denote by $\tilde{v}_\alpha$ a local extension of v to U_α as a section of $\mathcal{T}_M(U_\alpha)$; given an atlas adapted to S it is possible to build such an extension on each coordinate chart. If the open set is clear from the discussion we shall denote the extension simply by $\tilde{v}$; please note that such an extension is not only a section of $\mathcal{T}_M(U_\alpha)$ but also a section of $\mathcal{T}_M(\log S)(U_\alpha)$. Taken an extension $\tilde{v}$, denoted by $[1]_{k+1}$ the class of 1 in $\mathcal{O}_{S(k)}(U_\alpha)$ we shall denote its restriction to the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood by:

$$\tilde{v} \otimes [1]_{k+1}.$$

We will prove in Lemma 1.5 that this notation is consistent with the fact that the sections of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$ act as derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{S(k)}$. Moreover given two open sets U_α and U_β such that $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and taken two extension $\tilde{v}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{v}_\beta$ respectively it follows from the definition that on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ we have the following equivalence:

$$v = \tilde{v}_\alpha \otimes [1]_{k+1} = \tilde{v}_\beta \otimes [1]_{k+1}. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 1.5. *The sections of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$ act as derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{S(k)}$. Furthermore, given two sections v, w of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$, their bracket, defined on each coordinate patch U_α such that $U_\alpha \cap S \neq \emptyset$ as*

$$[v, w] := [\tilde{v}_\alpha, \tilde{w}_\alpha] \otimes [1]_{k+1},$$

where the bracket on the right side is the usual bracket on $\mathcal{T}_M$, is a well defined section of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$.

Proof. Let v be a section of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$ and f a section of $\mathcal{O}_{S(k)}$. Let U_α and U_β two coordinate patches of an atlas adapted to S such that $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap S \neq \emptyset$. On U_α we take representatives $\tilde{f}_1$ and $\tilde{f}_2$ of f and an extension $\tilde{v}_\alpha$ of v. We define:

$$v(f) := \tilde{v}_\alpha(\tilde{f}_1) \otimes [1]_{k+1} = [\tilde{v}_\alpha(\tilde{f}_1)]_{k+1}.$$

We check now that this does not depend on the extension chosen for f:

$$\tilde{v}_\alpha(\tilde{f}_1) - \tilde{v}_\alpha(\tilde{f}_2) = \tilde{v}_\alpha(\tilde{f}_1 - \tilde{f}_2) = \tilde{v}_\alpha(h_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1}} z^{r_1} \dots z^{r_{k+1}}).$$

Since $\tilde{v}_\alpha$ is logarithmic, then

$$\tilde{v}_\alpha(h_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1}} z^{r_1} \dots z^{r_{k+1}}) \in \mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$$

and

$$(\tilde{v}_\alpha(\tilde{f}_1) - \tilde{v}_\alpha(\tilde{f}_2)) \otimes [1]_{k+1} = [0]_{k+1}.$$

Now, let $\tilde{v}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{v}'_\alpha$ be two extensions of v. Suppose $w_{1,\alpha}, \dots, w_{n,\alpha}$ are generators for $\mathcal{T}_M(U_\alpha)$. By definition:

$$\tilde{v}_\alpha - \tilde{v}'_\alpha = g^h_\alpha w_{h,\alpha},$$

with $g^h_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$ for each $h = 1, \dots, n$. Then:

$$(\tilde{v}_\alpha - \tilde{v}'_\alpha)(\tilde{f}_1) \otimes [1]_{k+1} = g^h_\alpha w_{h,\alpha}(\tilde{f}_1) \otimes [1]_{k+1} = w_{h,\alpha}(\tilde{f}_1) \otimes [g^h_\alpha]_{k+1} = [0]_{k+1}.$$

This implies also that if we take extensions $\tilde{v}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{v}_\beta$ and representatives $\tilde{f}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{f}_\beta$ for f on U_α and U_β respectively we have that on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, the derivation is well defined.

We prove now the bracket is well defined; if u and v are sections of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$ the bracket is:

$$[u, v] = [\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}] \otimes [1]_{k+1}.$$

If $\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2$ are two extensions of u and $\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2$ are two extension of w then

$$\begin{aligned}[\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{v}_1] - [\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2] &= [\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{v}_1] - [\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{v}_2] + [\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{v}_2] - [\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2] \\ &= [\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{v}_1 - \tilde{v}_2] + [\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2].\end{aligned}$$

As above, we have that

$$\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{u}_2 = g^h_\alpha w_{h,\alpha}, \quad \tilde{v}_1 - \tilde{v}_2 = t^h_\alpha w_{h,\alpha},$$

with $g^h_\alpha, t^h_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$ for every h. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}[\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{v}_1 - \tilde{v}_2] + [\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2] &= [\tilde{u}_1, t^h_\alpha w_{h,\alpha}] + [g^h_\alpha w_{h,\alpha}, \tilde{v}_2] \\ &= \tilde{u}_1(t^h_\alpha) w_{h,\alpha} + t^h_\alpha [\tilde{u}_1, w_{h,\alpha}] - \tilde{v}_2(g^h_\alpha) w_{h,\alpha} + g^h_\alpha [w_{h,\alpha}, \tilde{v}_2]. \qquad (2)\end{aligned}$$

Since both $\tilde{v}_1$ and $\tilde{u}_2$ are logarithmic, the restriction to the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood of (2) is 0. □

Therefore, the following definition makes sense.

Definition 1.6. A **regular foliation** of $S(k)$ is a rank l coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$, such that:

- for every $x \in S$ the stalk $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)} \,/\, \mathcal{F}_x$ is $\mathcal{O}_{S(k),x}$-free;
- for every $x \in S$ we have that $[\mathcal{F}_x, \mathcal{F}_x] \subseteq \mathcal{F}_x$ (where the bracket is the one defined in Lemma 1.5);
- the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to S, denoted by $\mathcal{F}|_S$, is a rank l foliation of S.

Remark 1.7. Please note that the third condition is a simplifying condition: in the paper [Br] a lot of work is devoted to clarify and explain the concept of extension of a foliation and our definition is a particular case. We want to avoid the following situation: let U be an open neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2$, with coordinate system (z^1, z^2) and let $S = z^1 = 0$. We take a subbundle of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ generated by $[z^1]_2\partial/\partial z^1, \partial/\partial z^2$. Clearly, it is involutive with respect to the bracket defined above, but its restriction to S gives rise to a rank 1 foliation.

The main tool of this section is the Holomorphic Frobenius Theorem, whose proof can be found e.g. in [Su, pages 38–42]. Lemma 1.10 is a tool we use in proving the Frobenius Theorem for foliations of the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood. We give the proof after a definition.

Definition 1.8. Let S be a codimension m complex submanifold of M, a complex n-dimensional manifold. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a rank l regular foliation of S. We say that an atlas $\{(U_\alpha, z^1_\alpha, \dots, z^n_\alpha)\}$ is **adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$** if

- $U_\alpha \cap S = \{z^1_\alpha = \ldots = z^m_\alpha = 0\}$,
- $\mathcal{F}|_{U_\alpha \cap S}$ is generated by $\partial/\partial z^{m+1}_\alpha|_S, \dots, \partial/\partial z^{m+l}_\alpha|_S$.

Remark 1.9. The existence of such an atlas follows from the Holomorphic Frobenius theorem cited above.

Lemma 1.10. *Every regular foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of $S(k)$ admits a local frame which can be extended locally by commuting vector fields, i.e., for every point $x \in S$ there exists a neighborhood U_x of x in M and commuting sections $\tilde{w}_{m+1}, \dots, \tilde{w}_{m+l}$ of $\mathcal{T}_M$ on U_x such that $w_i := \tilde{w}_i \otimes [1]_{k+1}$ are generators of $\mathcal{F}(U_x \cap S)$.*

Proof. Let x be a point of S, we take a coordinate patch (U, ϕ) centered in x, adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}|_S$. Let $\{v_i\}$ be a system of generators of $\mathcal{F}$ in $U \cap S$ and $\{\tilde{v}_i\}$ be vector fields extending them. Call $\mathcal{D}$ the distribution spanned by the $\tilde{v}_i$'s. We complete the frame $\{\tilde{v}_i\}$ to a frame $\{\tilde{v}_k\}$ of $\mathcal{T}_M$, taking as $\tilde{v}_t$ the coordinate fields $\partial/\partial z^t$. Now, we choose holomorphic functions f^k_i such that:

$$\tilde{v}_k = f^h_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z^h}.$$

Please remark that the matrix $A := (f^h_k)$ is a matrix of holomorphic functions acting on the right:

$$|\tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^n| = \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^n} \right| \cdot A.$$

By hypothesis we know that A is non singular in x, so there exists a neighborhood (still denoted by U) of x such that this matrix is invertible with inverse a matrix of holomorphic functions. Let (g^k_h) be its inverse matrix. We define $\tilde{w}_i = g^j_i \tilde{v}_j$ and we denote by $w_i := \tilde{w}_i \otimes [1]_{k+1}$. Each one of the $\tilde{w}_i$'s belongs to the module generated by $\tilde{v}_{m+1}, \dots, \tilde{v}_{m+l}$ therefore each w_i belongs to $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$. This implies, thanks to Lemma 1.5 that

$$[w_i, w_j] = [\tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_j] \otimes [1]_{k+1} = [g^{i'}_i \tilde{v}_{i'}, g^{j'}_j \tilde{v}_{j'}] \otimes [1]_{k+1} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We claim now that the $\tilde{w}_j$ generate $\mathcal{D}$ and therefore, when restricted to $S(k)$ generate $\mathcal{F}$. Let π be the projection $(z^1, \dots, z^n) \mapsto (z^{m+1}, \dots, z^{m+l})$ and $\Pi = \pi \circ \phi$. We have:

$$\Pi_*(\tilde{w}_i) = \Pi_*(\tilde{w}_i) + g^t_i \Pi_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^t} \right) = \Pi_*(g^k_i \tilde{v}_k) = \Pi_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i},$$

so the $\tilde{w}_i$ generate $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, by naturality of Lie brackets, we have that

$$\Pi_*([\tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_j]) = [\Pi_*(\tilde{w}_i), \Pi_*(\tilde{w}_j)].$$

The mapping Π_* induces a map $\Pi_{*,k}:\mathcal{T}_M\otimes\mathcal{O}_{S(k)}\to\mathcal{O}^l_{S(k)}$, given by:

$$\Pi_{*,k}(v\otimes[1]_{k+1})=\Pi_*(\tilde{v})\otimes[1]_{k+1}.$$

This map is injective when restricted to $\mathcal{F}$; since $[w_i,w_j]\in\mathcal{F}$ and $\Pi_{*,k}([w_i,w_j])=0$ we have that $[w_i,w_j]=0$. We want now to modify the $\tilde{w}_i$'s to obtain l independent commuting sections of $\mathcal{F}$, without changing their equivalence class. Therefore, we look for extensions of the w_i's which satisfy the thesis of the theorem, proceeding by induction on the number of sections. If $l'=1$, we can take any extension of w_{m+1} (every vector field commutes with itself). Suppose now the claim is true for $l'-1$ sections. Then, by the Holomorphic Frobenius theorem there exists a coordinate chart adapted to S in which $\tilde{w}_{m+1}=\partial/\partial z^{m+1},\dots,\tilde{w}_{m+l'-1}=\partial/\partial z^{m+l'-1}$. Now, since the w_i are commuting when restricted to $S(k)$, if

$$w_{m+l'}=[g^v]_{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^v}+[f^i]_{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i},$$

we have that:

$$[0]_{k+1}=\frac{\partial[g^v]_{k+1}}{\partial z^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^v}+\frac{\partial[f^j]_{k+1}}{\partial z^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}=\left[\frac{\partial g^v}{\partial z^i}\right]_{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^v}+\left[\frac{\partial f^j}{\partial z^i}\right]_{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j},$$

where i ranges in $m+1,\dots,m+l'-1$. The last equality tells us that:

$$\frac{\partial g^v}{\partial z^i}=z^{r_1}\cdots z^{r_{k+1}}h^v_{r_1,\cdots,r_{k+1},i},\qquad \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial z^i}=z^{r_1}\cdots z^{r_{k+1}}h^j_{r_1,\cdots,r_{k+1},i}.$$

We have to find $\tilde{g^v}$, $\tilde{f^j}$ representatives for the classes $[g^v]_{k+1}$,$[f^j]_{k+1}$ such that

$$0=\frac{\partial\tilde{g}^v}{\partial z^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^v}+\frac{\partial\tilde{f}^j}{\partial z^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}.$$

We do that for one of the g^v's, the method applies to all the other coefficients. Now, $\tilde{g}^v=g^v+z^{r_1}\cdots z^{r_{k+1}}\tilde{h}_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1}}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}^v}{\partial z^i}&=\frac{\partial g^v}{\partial z^i}+z^{r_1}\cdots z^{r_{k+1}}\frac{\partial\tilde{h}^v_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1}}}{\partial z^i}\\&=z^{r_1}\cdots z^{r_{k+1}}h^v_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1},i}+z^{r_1}\cdots z^{r_{k+1}}\frac{\partial\tilde{h}^v_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1}}}{\partial z^i}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the problem reduces to find a primitive $\tilde{h}^v_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1}}$ for the 1-form

$$\omega:=-h^v_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1},i}dz^i,$$

where the other coordinates are considered as parameters. If we denote by ∂ the holomorphic differential and supposing, without loss of generality, that U is simply connected and centered at $x\in S$ (i.e. $\phi(x)=0$) we have, by the Holomorphic Poincaré Lemma, that this primitive exists if and only if ω is closed. Therefore we need to check that the mixed partial derivatives coincide:

$$z^{r_1}\cdots z^{r_{k+1}}\frac{\partial h^v_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1},i}}{\partial z^j}=\frac{\partial^2 g^v}{\partial z^j\partial z^i}=\frac{\partial^2 g^v}{\partial z^i\partial z^j}=z^{r_1}\cdots z^{r_{k+1}}\frac{\partial h^v_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1},j}}{\partial z^i}.$$

Then, the primitive exists and is defined in U by:

$$\tilde{h}^v_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1}}(z^1,\dots,z^n)=\int_\gamma -h^v_{r_1,\dots,r_{k+1},i}dz^i,$$

where γ is a curve such that $\gamma(1)=(z^1,\dots,z^n)$ and $\gamma(0)=0$. □

As a simple consequence of the Lemma, we have the Frobenius Theorem for k-th infinitesimal neighborhoods.

Corollary 1.11 (Frobenius Theorem for k-th infinitesimal neighborhoods)**.** *Suppose S is a non singular complex submanifold of codimension m in a complex manifold M of dimension n and suppose we have a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of $S(k)$ of rank l. Then there exists an atlas $\{U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha\}$ adapted to S such that if $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap S \neq \emptyset$ then:*

$$\left[\frac{\partial z_\alpha^t}{\partial z_\beta^i}\right]_{k+1} = 0, \tag{3}$$

for $t = 1, \dots, m, m+l+1, \dots, n$ and $i = 1, \dots, l$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$.

Proof. We take an atlas adapted to S and extensions $\tilde{w}_{i,\alpha}$ as given by Lemma 1.10. By the usual Holomorphic Frobenius theorem, there exist a coordinate system (modulo shrinking) on U_α such that

$$\tilde{w}_{m+1,\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^{m+1}}, \dots, \tilde{w}_{m+l,\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^{m+l}}.$$

We take such coordinate systems. Since we are dealing with a foliation of $S(k)$, we know that if $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is generated on each $U_\alpha \cap S$ by $w_{1,\alpha}, \dots, w_{l,\alpha}$ we have that $w_{i,\alpha} = [(c_{\alpha\beta})_i^j]_{k+1} w_{j,\beta}$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\frac{\partial z_\alpha^t}{\partial z_\beta^i}\right]_{k+1} &= \tilde{w}_{i,\beta} \otimes [1]_{k+1}(z_\alpha^t) = w_{i,\beta}(z_\alpha^t) = [c_i^j]_{k+1} w_{j,\alpha}(z_\alpha^t)\\ &= [c_i^j]_{k+1}\tilde{w}_{j,\alpha} \otimes [1]_{k+1}(z_\alpha^t) = [c_i^j]_{k+1}\left[\frac{\partial z_\alpha^t}{\partial z_\alpha^j}\right]_{k+1} = [c_i^j \delta_j^t]_{k+1} = [0]_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1.12. It is easily seen that the existence of an atlas satisfying (3) implies the existence of a foliation of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$, generated on each chart U_α intersecting S by $\{\partial/\partial z_\alpha^{m+1}, \dots, \partial/\partial z_\alpha^{m+l}\}$.

Definition 1.13. We say that a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of S **extends to the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood**, if there exists an atlas adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$ such that:

$$\left[\frac{\partial z_\beta^t}{\partial z_\alpha^i}\right]_{k+1} = 0,$$

for $t = 1, \dots, m, m+l+1, \dots, n$ and $i = 1, \dots, l$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$.
In the special case $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T}_S$ we say that S has **k-th order extendable tangent bundle**.

Remark 1.14. Let M be a complex manifold, $\mathcal{F}$ a regular foliation of M. Every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ has k-th order extendable tangent bundle for every k.

Remark 1.15. For a submanifold S having first order extendable tangent bundle is a strong topological condition. As a matter of fact, as we will see in section 8 of this paper, this implies the vanishing of many of the characteristic classes of the normal bundle of S.

Remark 1.16. If a submanifold S has first order extendable tangent bundle, it is likely that every foliation on S extends to a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood. A result in this direction can be found in Corollary 4.10.

2. The Atiyah sheaf for the Variation Action

The Atiyah sheaf is an important geometrical object defined in [Ati]. In that paper, it is proved that the existence of a holomorphic connection for a vector bundle E is equivalent to the splitting of the following sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(E,E) \to \mathcal{A}_E \to TM \to 0, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_E$ is the Atiyah sheaf of E. In [ABT] it was proved that the obstruction to the existence of partial holomorphic connections for a vector bundle E along a subbundle $\mathcal{F}$ is equivalent to the splitting of the following sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E,E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E,\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{5}$$

Definition 2.1. Let S be a not necessarily closed complex submanifold of M, $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation of S. Let $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)} := \mathcal{T}_M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{M,S} := \mathcal{T}_M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathcal{O}_S$; if $\theta_1 : \mathcal{O}_{S(1)} \to \mathcal{O}_S$ is the canonical projection, we denote by Θ_1 the map $\mathrm{id} \otimes \theta_1 : \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)} \to \mathcal{T}_{M,S}$. We see $\mathcal{F}$ as a subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{M,S}$, and we define the **normal bundle to the foliation in the ambient tangent bundle** as the quotient of $\mathcal{T}_{M,S}$ by $\mathcal{F}$ and we will denote it by $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$. Let $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)} := \ker(\mathrm{pr} \circ \Theta_1)$, where pr is the quotient map in the short exact sequence:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathcal{T}_S \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{F} \arrow[ur] \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathcal{T}_{M,S} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}"] & \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6}$$

Remark 2.2. In our case, we have to replace E in (4) with $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$; the computation of the obstruction to the splitting of this sequence is a straightforward application of the procedure in [Ati] and therefore we omit it. In an atlas adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$, in Čech-deRham cohomology the class is represented by the cocycle

$$\left\{ U_{\alpha\beta}, -\frac{\partial z^{t'}_\alpha}{\partial z^t_\beta} \frac{\partial^2 z^t_\beta}{\partial z^i_\alpha \partial z^w_\alpha}\bigg|_S dz^i_\alpha \otimes \omega^w_\beta \otimes \partial_{t',\beta} \right\},$$

where $\{\partial_{t,\alpha}\}$ is the quotient frame for $N_{F,M}$ in U_α and ω^t_α is the dual frame for $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ on U_α.

As in [ABT2], we will define a more concrete realization of the Atiyah sheaf for the sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$. We shall prove that the splitting of the Atiyah sequence for $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ is equivalent to the fact that the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ extends to the first infinitesimal neighborhood.

Remark 2.3. By definition $\Theta_1(\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)})$ is contained in the kernel of pr, so, by exactness of sequence (6), it is contained in the image of $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, for each $v \in \mathcal{F}$, at least locally, the element $\tilde{v} \otimes [1]_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ and is projected by Θ_1 to $i(v)$. So, $\Theta_1(\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}) = i(\mathcal{F})$.

Remark 2.4. Suppose we have a coordinate system adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$ (Definition 1.8). Then v belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ if and only if $v = [a^k]_2 \partial/\partial z^k$, with $[a^t]_1 = 0$, where $t = 1, \ldots, m, m+l+1, \ldots, n$. Analogously v belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S\, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ if and only if $v = [a^i]_2 \partial/\partial z^i$, where $a^i \in \mathcal{I}_S$ for $i = m+1, \ldots, m+l$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let S be a complex submanifold of a complex manifold M and $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation of S. Then*

1. *every v in $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ induces a derivation $g \mapsto v(g)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$;*
2. *there exist a natural $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\{\ \cdot\ ,\ \cdot\ \} : \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)} \to \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ such that*
   - (a) $\{u,v\} = -\{v,u\}$,
   - (b) $\{u,\{v,w\}\} + \{v,\{w,u\}\} + \{w,\{u,v\}\} = 0$,
   - (c) $\{gu,v\} = g\{u,v\} - v(g)u,$ *for all* $g \in \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$
   - (d) $\Theta_1(\{u,v\}) = [\Theta_1(u), \Theta_1(v)]$.

Proof. (1) Let $(U; z^1, \dots, z^n)$ be a coordinate chart adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$. An element $v = [a^k]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} \in \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ if and only if $[a^t]_1 = 0$. Remembering Remark 1.3 we see that v belongs to $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ and Lemma 1.5 gives the assertion.

(2) We define $\{\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\}$ by setting

$$\{u, v\}(f) = u(v(f)) - v(u(f)),$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$. Please note that, since u and v belong to $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ this bracket coincides with the bracket defined on $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$; the first three properties are proved exactly as for the usual bracket of vector fields, while the fourth follows from a simple computation in coordinates. Suppose $(U; z^1, \dots, z^n)$ is a coordinate chart adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$, $u = [a^k]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$, $v = [b^k]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$ with $[a^t]_1 = 0$ and $[b^t]_1 = 0$. First of all we compute the Lie brackets on $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ in coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{u, v\} &= \left[a^h \frac{\partial b^k}{\partial z^h} - b^h \frac{\partial a^k}{\partial z^h}\right]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} \\
&= \left[a^t \frac{\partial b^u}{\partial z^t} + a^i \frac{\partial b^u}{\partial z^i} - b^t \frac{\partial a^u}{\partial z^t} - b^i \frac{\partial a^u}{\partial z^i}\right]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^u} \\
&\quad + \left[a^t \frac{\partial b^j}{\partial z^t} - b^t \frac{\partial a^j}{\partial z^t}\right]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} + \left[a^i \frac{\partial b^j}{\partial z^i} - b^i \frac{\partial a^j}{\partial z^i}\right]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Please note that the coefficients in the first two summands of the last expression all belong to $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$. Therefore:

$$\Theta_1(\{u, v\}) = \left[a^i \frac{\partial b^j}{\partial z^i} - b^i \frac{\partial a^j}{\partial z^i}\right]_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} = [\Theta_1(u), \Theta_1(v)].$$

□

Remark 2.6. In general, given two vector fields u, v in $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$, we can define a bracket as: $[u, v](f) = u(v(f)) - v(u(f))$, for $f \in \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$. Please note that this bracket is not a well defined section of $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ but only of $\mathcal{T}_{M,S}$. In other words $[u(v(f)) - v(u(f))]_2$ is not well defined, while $[u(v(f)) - v(u(f))]_1$ is.

Lemma 2.7. *Let S be an m-codimensional complex submanifold of a complex manifold M of complex dimension n and $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation of S. Then:*

1. *$u \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ is such that $pr([u, s]) = 0$ for all $s \in \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{I}_S\, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$;*
2. *if $u \in \mathcal{I}_S\, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ and $v \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ then $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{I}_S\, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$;*
3. *the quotient sheaf*

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)} / \mathcal{I}_S\, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$$

admits a natural structure of $\mathcal{O}_S$-locally free sheaf such that the map induced by Θ_1, whose image lies in $\mathcal{F}$, is an $\mathcal{O}_S$-morphism.

Proof. (1) Writing $u = [a^k]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$, with $[a^t]_1 = 0$, and $s = [b^h]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^h} \in \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$, we have:

$$\mathrm{pr}([u, s]) = \left[a^k \frac{\partial b^t}{\partial z^k} - b^k \frac{\partial a^t}{\partial z^k}\right]_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^t}.$$

If u belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S\, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ clearly $\mathrm{pr}([u, s]) = 0$.

Conversely, let u be such that $\mathrm{pr}([u, s]) = 0$ for each $s \in \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$. We claim it belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S\, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$. We know that u belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$, so that $[a^t]_1 = 0$. Let $s = \partial/\partial z^r$, with $r = 1, \dots, m$. Then $[\partial a^t/\partial z^r]_1 = 0$.

Now, we take a representative $h_s z^s$, with $s = 1, \dots, m$, for the class $[a^t]_1$. Computing:

$$0 = \left[\frac{\partial a^t}{\partial z^r}\right]_1 = \left[\frac{\partial h_s}{\partial z^s} z^s + h_s \delta^s_r\right]_1 = [h_s]_1 .$$

So, for each s, we have that h_s belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S$, implying that $[a^t]_2 = 0$. Fix now a j in $m+1, \dots, n$ and let $s = [z^j]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1}$. Then

$$0 = -\left[z^j \frac{\partial a^t}{\partial z^1}\right]_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^t} + \left[a^k \delta^j_k\right]_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} = [a^j]_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1},$$

where the last equality follows from the preceeding step, where we proved that $[a^t]_2 = 0$ and thus that $\left[\frac{\partial a^t}{\partial z^1}\right]_1 = 0$. So, $[a^j]_1 = 0$ and u belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S \, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$.

(2) This follows by a direct computation in coordinates.

(3) The sheaf $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$-submodule of $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ such that $g \cdot v$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S \, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ for every $g \in \mathcal{I}_S / \mathcal{I}_S^2$ and $v \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$. Therefore the $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$ structure induces a natural $\mathcal{O}_S$-module structure on $\mathcal{A}$.
Remember $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ is generated locally, in an atlas adapted to S by $\partial/\partial z^j$, with $j = m+1, \dots, m+l$ and by $[z^r]_2 \partial/\partial z^s$, with r and s varying in $1, \dots, m$. Then, the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module freely generated by $\pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j})$ and $\pi([z^s]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^t})$, where $\pi : \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)} \to \mathcal{A}$ is the quotient map. Moreover, $\mathcal{I}_S \, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ lies in the kernel of Θ_1 so Θ_1 factors through a map that we will denote again by $\Theta_1 : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{F}$, which is clearly an $\mathcal{O}_S$-morphism.

□

Definition 2.8. Let S be a complex submanifold of a complex manifold M and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of S. The **Atiyah sheaf of** $\mathcal{F}$ is the locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)} / \mathcal{I}_S \, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)} .$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let S be a codimension m submanifold of a complex manifold M of dimension n and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of S. Then there exists a natural exact sequence of locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & Hom(\mathcal{N}_S, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\Theta_1"] & \mathcal{F} \arrow[r] & 0 . \end{tikzcd}$$

whose splitting is equivalent to the splitting of the sequence (5) *taking as E $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.*

Proof. We work in a chart adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$. The kernel of Θ_1 is locally freely generated by the images under $\pi : \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)} \to \mathcal{A}$ of $[z^s_\alpha]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^t_\alpha}$. We would like to understand how the generators behave under change of coordinates, to see if $\ker(\Theta_1)$ is isomorphic to any known sheaf of sections of a known vector bundle. We compute the coordinate change maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\left([z^s_\alpha]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^t}\right) &= \pi\left([z^s_\alpha]_2 \left[\frac{\partial z^k_\beta}{\partial z^t_\alpha}\right]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k_\beta}\right) = \pi\left([z^s_\alpha]_2 \left[\frac{\partial z^w_\beta}{\partial z^t_\alpha}\right]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^w_\beta}\right) \\ &= \pi\left(\left[\frac{\partial z^s_\alpha}{\partial z^{s_1}_\beta}\right]_2 [z^{s_1}_\beta]_2 \left[\frac{\partial z^w_\beta}{\partial z^t_\alpha}\right]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^w_\beta}\right) \qquad (7) \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial z^s_\alpha}{\partial z^{s_1}_\beta} \frac{\partial z^w_\beta}{\partial z^t_\alpha}\right]_1 \pi\left([z^{s_1}_\beta]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^w_\beta}\right), \qquad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality in (7) comes from the quotient map and the one in (8) comes from the newly acquired structure of $\mathcal{O}_S$-module. As a consequence, the kernel of Θ_1 is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_S, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$. Now, if we define local splittings of the sequence by setting

$$\sigma_\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^j}\right) = \pi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^j}\right),$$

for $j = m+1, \ldots, m+l$, and extending by $\mathcal{O}_S$-linearity, we can compute the obstruction to find a splitting of the sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_\beta - \sigma_\alpha)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\beta^j}\right) &= \sigma_\beta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\beta^j}\right) - \sigma_\alpha\left(\left[\frac{\partial z_\alpha^i}{\partial z_\beta^j}\right]_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^i}\right) \\
&= \sigma_\beta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\beta^j}\right) - \left[\frac{\partial z_\alpha^i}{\partial z_\beta^j}\right]_1 \sigma_\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^i}\right) \\
&= \pi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\beta^j}\right) - \left[\frac{\partial z_\alpha^i}{\partial z_\beta^j}\right]_1 \pi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^i}\right) \\
&= \pi\left(\left[\frac{\partial z_\alpha^t}{\partial z_\beta^j}\right]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^t}\right) = \pi\left(\left[\frac{\partial^2 z_\alpha^t}{\partial z_\beta^r \partial z_\beta^j} z_\beta^r\right]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^t}\right) \\
&= \left[\frac{\partial^2 z_\alpha^t}{\partial z_\beta^r \partial z_\beta^j} \frac{\partial z_\beta^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s}\right]_1 \pi\left([z_\alpha^s]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^t}\right). \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Please remark that, since $\partial z_\alpha^t / \partial z_\beta^j$ lies in the ideal $\mathcal{I}_S$ for $t = 1, \ldots, m, m+l+1, \ldots, n$ and $j = m+1, \ldots, m+l$ it follows that

$$\frac{\partial^2 z_\alpha^t}{\partial z_\beta^p \partial z_\beta^j} \in \mathcal{I}_S$$

for $t = 1, \ldots, m, m+l+1, \ldots, n$, $j = m+1, \ldots, m+l$ and $p = m+1, \ldots, n$. Therefore we have that

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 z_\alpha^t}{\partial z_\beta^w \partial z_\beta^j}\right]_1 = [0]_1$$

for $t, w = 1, \ldots, m, m+l+1, \ldots, n$ and $j = m+1, \ldots, m+l$ if and only if

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 z_\alpha^t}{\partial z_\beta^r \partial z_\beta^j}\right]_1 = [0]_1$$

for $t, w = 1, \ldots, m, m+l+1, \ldots, n$, $j = m+1, \ldots, m+l$ and $r = 1, \ldots, m$. Hence, class (9) vanishes if and only if (5) splits. □

It is easily noted that in the case $\mathcal{F}$ is the tangent bundle to S the Atiyah sheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is nothing else than the Atiyah sheaf of S, defined in [ABT2].

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules over a complex manifold S, equipped with a $\mathcal{O}_S$-morphism $X : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{T}_S$. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is a **Lie algebroid of anchor** X if there is a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear map $\{\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\} : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ such that:

(1) $\{v, u\} = -\{u, v\}$;
(2) $\{u, \{v, w\}\} + \{v, \{w, u\}\} + \{w, \{u, v\}\} = 0$;
(3) $\{g \cdot u, v\} = g \cdot \{u, v\} - X(v)(g) \cdot u$ for all $g \in \mathcal{O}_S$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.11. Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be locally free sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules over a complex manifold S. Given a section $X \in H^0(S, \mathcal{T}_S \otimes \mathcal{F}^*)$, a **holomorphic X-connection on** $\mathcal{E}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\tilde{X} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{E}$ such that:

$$\tilde{X}(g \cdot s) = X^*(dg) \otimes s + g\tilde{X}(s),$$

for each $g \in \mathcal{O}_S$ and $s \in \mathcal{E}$, where X^* is the dual map of X. The notation $\tilde{X}_v(s)$ is equivalent to $\tilde{X}(s)(v)$.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a Lie algebroid of anchor X we define the **curvature** of $\tilde{X}$ to be:

$$R_{u,v}(s) = \tilde{X}_u \circ \tilde{X}_v(s) - \tilde{X}_v \circ \tilde{X}_u(s) - \tilde{X}_{\{u,v\}}(s).$$

We say that $\tilde{X}$ is **flat** if $R \equiv 0$.

Proposition 2.12. *Let S be a complex submanifold of a complex manifold M and $\mathcal{F}$ a holomorphic foliation of S. Then:*

1. *the Atiyah sheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ has a natural structure of Lie algebroid of anchor Θ_1 such that*
$$\Theta_1\{q_1, q_2\} = [\Theta_1(q_1), \Theta_1(q_2)]$$
for all $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{A}$;
2. *there is a natural holomorphic Θ_1-connection $\tilde{X} : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M} \to \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ given by*
$$\tilde{X}_q(s) = pr([v, \tilde{s}])$$
for all $q \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s \in N_{\mathcal{F},M}$, where $v \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ and $\tilde{s} \in \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ are such that $\pi(v) = q$ and $pr \circ \Theta_1(\tilde{s}) = s$;
3. *this holomorphic Θ_1-connection is flat.*

Proof. (1) We set
$$\{q_1, q_2\} = \pi(\{v_1, v_2\}),$$
where $v_i \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ are such that $q_i = \pi(v_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. This is well defined: if $q_1 = 0$, then v_1 is in $\mathcal{I}_S\, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ and then, by 2 of Lemma 2.7 we have that $\{q_1, q_2\} = 0$. The other properties follow directly from Lemma 2.5.

(2) We check the connection is well defined. Suppose now $q = 0$; this means that $v \in \mathcal{I}_S\, \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$; then, by Lemma 2.7.1, we have that $\mathrm{pr}([v, \tilde{s}]) = 0$, for every $\tilde{s} \in \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$. Now, if $\mathrm{pr} \circ \Theta_1(\tilde{s}) = 0$, we have that $\tilde{s} \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$, so $\{v, \tilde{s}\}$ is in $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$, which implies that $\tilde{X}_q(s) = 0$.

We check now it is a Θ_1-connection. It is $\mathcal{O}_S$-linear in the first entry since:
$$\tilde{X}_{[f]_1 \cdot q}(s) = \mathrm{pr}([[f]_2 v, \tilde{s}]) = \mathrm{pr}([f]_1[v, \tilde{s}] - \tilde{s}([f]_2)\Theta_1(v)) = [f]_1 \tilde{X}_q(v),$$
where the last equality comes from the fact that v belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$, which is the kernel of $\mathrm{pr} \circ \Theta_1$. We check the Θ_1-Leibniz rule for the second entry:
$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}_q([f]_1 s) &= \mathrm{pr}([v, [f]_2 \tilde{s}]) = \mathrm{pr}([f]_1[v, \tilde{s}] + v([f]_2) \cdot \Theta_1(\tilde{s}))\\ &= [f]_1 \tilde{X}_q(s) + \Theta_1(q)([f]_1) \cdot s,\end{aligned}$$
where the last equality comes from the equality:
$$[v([f]_2)]_1 = \Theta_1(v)([f]_1) = \Theta_1(\pi(v))([f]_1),$$
for every $[f]_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$ and for every $v \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$. Thus, $\tilde{X}$ is a holomorphic Θ_1-connection.

(3) We compute the curvature:
$$\begin{aligned}R_{q_1,q_2}(s) &= \tilde{X}_{q_1} \circ \tilde{X}_{q_2}(s) - \tilde{X}_{q_2} \circ \tilde{X}_{q_1}(s) - \tilde{X}_{\{q_1,q_2\}}(s)\\ &= \mathrm{pr}([u, \widetilde{\mathrm{pr}([v, \tilde{s}])}]) - \mathrm{pr}([v, \widetilde{\mathrm{pr}([u, \tilde{s}])}]) - \mathrm{pr}([[u, v], \tilde{s}]).\end{aligned}$$
As we proved before, the connection does not depend on the extension chosen for the second entry, so we can rewrite the expression as:
$$\mathrm{pr}([u, [v, \tilde{s}]]) - \mathrm{pr}([v, [u, \tilde{s}]]) - \mathrm{pr}([[u, v], \tilde{s}]).$$
Computing in coordinates, it follows from the usual Jacobi identity for vector fields that it is identically 0.

□

Definition 2.13. Let S be a complex submanifold of a complex manifold M and $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation of S. The holomorphic Θ_1- connection $\tilde{X} : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M} \to \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ just introduced is called the **universal holomorphic connection** on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.

Corollary 2.14. *Let S be a submanifold of a complex manifold M and suppose there exists a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of the first infinitesimal neighborhood of S. Then, there exists a flat partial holomorphic connection $(\delta, \mathcal{F})$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ along $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. We want to define now the splitting map between $\mathcal{F}|_S$ and $\mathcal{A}$; this is really simple since in an atlas adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$ each of $[1]_2 \otimes \partial/\partial z^i_\alpha$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$. Therefore we define $\psi : \mathcal{F}|_S \to \mathcal{A}$ as

$$\psi : \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i_\alpha} \mapsto \pi\left([1]_2 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i_\alpha}\right),$$

for each $i = m+1, \ldots, m+l$, where π is the map from $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ to $\mathcal{A}$. We compute now the explicit form of the induced partial holomorphic connection. Indeed, let v belong to $\mathcal{F}$ and s belong to $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$; since $\psi(v)$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$, if we take a lift $\tilde{s}$ of s to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$, i.e. $\mathrm{pr} \circ \Theta_1(\tilde{s}) = s$, we have that the partial holomorphic connection $(\delta, \mathcal{F})$ along $\mathcal{F}$ induced by the universal holomorphic connection for $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ is given by:

$$\delta_v(s) = \tilde{X}_{\psi(v)}(s) = \mathrm{pr}([\psi(v), \tilde{s}]).$$

We prove now this partial holomorphic connection is flat; indeed

$$\delta_u(\delta_v(s)) - \delta_v(\delta_u(s)) - \delta_{[u,v]}((s)) = \mathrm{pr}([\tilde{u}, [\tilde{v}, \tilde{s}]] - [\tilde{v}, [\tilde{u}, \tilde{s}]] - [[\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}], \tilde{s}]) = 0,$$

by the Jacobi identity. □

3. Splittings and foliations of the 1-st infinitesimal neighborhood

In this section we will deal with a stronger version of splitting (Definition 3.2). The main idea is that, given a splitting of a submanifold, there exist maps which permit us to "project" vector fields transversal to the first infinitesimal neighborhood into vector fields which are tangential to the first infinitesimal neighborhood. We cite the following proposition which stems from a result of commutative algebra [Ei, Proposition 16.2] which ties the splitting of the conormal sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2 \arrow[r, "d_2"] & \Omega_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \arrow[r, "p"] & \Omega_S \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

with the splitting of the following short exact sequences:

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2 \arrow[r, "i_1"] & \mathcal{O}_{S(1)} \arrow[r, "\theta_1"] & \mathcal{O}_S \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

and the normal sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{T}_S \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \mathcal{T}_{M,S} \arrow[r, "p_2"] & \mathcal{N}_S \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 3.1 ([ABT2] Prop. 2.7)**.** *Let S be a reduced, globally irreducible subvariety of a complex manifold M. Then, there exists is a $1-1$ correspondence among the following classes of morphisms:*

- *morphisms $\sigma : \Omega_S \to \Omega_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules such that $p \circ \sigma = id$;*
- *morphisms $\tau : \Omega_{M,S} \to \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$ of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules such that $\tau \circ d_2 = id$;*
- *θ_1-derivations $\tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{O}_{S(1)} \to \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$ such that $\tilde{\rho} \circ i_1 = id$, where i_1 is the canonical inclusion;*
- *morphisms $\rho : \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$ of sheaves of rings such that $\theta_1 \circ \rho = id$.*

Moreover, if any of the former classes is not empty, then there is a $1-1$ *correspondence with the following classes of morphisms*

- *morphisms* $\tau^*: \mathcal{N}_S \to \mathcal{T}_{M,S}$ *of sheaves of* $\mathcal{O}_S$*-modules such that* $p_2 \circ \tau^* = id$*;*
- *morphisms* $\sigma^*: \mathcal{T}_{M,S} \to \mathcal{T}_S$ *of sheaves of* $\mathcal{O}_S$*-modules such that* $\iota \circ \sigma^*$*, where* $\iota : \mathcal{T}_S \to \mathcal{T}_{M,S}$ *is the canonical inclusion.*

Definition 3.2. Let S be a reduced, globally irreducible subvariety of a complex manifold M. We say that S **splits** in M if there exists a morphism of sheaves $\sigma : \Omega_S \to \Omega_{M,S}$ such that $p \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}$ where $p : \Omega_{M,S} \to \Omega_S$ is the canonical projection.

Remark 3.3. In [ABT2] it is proved that a submanifold splits if and only if there exists an atlas adapted to S such that:

$$\left[\frac{\partial z^p_\beta}{\partial z^r_\alpha}\right]_1 = 0.$$

A natural generalization of the concept of splitting is the notion of k-splitting, developed in [ABT2] and [ABT3]. We will use extensively the notion of 2-splitting.

Definition 3.4. Let S be a submanifold of a complex manifold M. We shall say that S k**-splits** into M if and only if there is an infinitesimal retraction of $S(k)$ onto S, that is if there is a k-th order lifting $\rho : \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{O}_M / \mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$ or in still other words, if the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^{k+1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{I}_S^{k+1} \to \mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{I}_S \to 0 \tag{10}$$

splits as a sequence of sheaves of rings.

Remark 3.5. Please note that, in case the sequence above splits, the map $\tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{I}_S^{k+1} \to \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$ is a θ_k derivation, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\rho}([fg]_{k+1}) = \theta_k([f]_{k+1})\tilde{\rho}([g]_{k+1}) + \theta_k([g]_{k+1})\tilde{\rho}([f]_{k+1}).$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$ has a natural structure of $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$-module: the multiplications given by $[f]_k[h]_{k+1} = [fh]_{k+1}$ is well defined; indeed, let $[\tilde{f}_1]_{k+1}$ and $[\tilde{f}_2]_{k+1}$ be two representatives of $[f]_k$. Then $[\tilde{f}_2 - \tilde{f}_1]_{k+1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S^k/\mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$ and therefore $[\tilde{f}_1 h]_{k+1} - [\tilde{f}_2 h]_{k+1} = [0]_{k+1}$ since h belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^{k+1}$.

Remark 3.6. Theorem 2.1 of [ABT3] proves that S is k-splitting if and only if there exists a k-splitting atlas, i.e. an atlas $\{U_\alpha, z_\alpha\}$ adapted to a complex submanifold S such that:

$$\frac{\partial z^p_\beta}{\partial z^r_\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}_S^k$$

for all $r = 1, \ldots, m, p = m+1, \ldots, n$ and for each pair of indices α, β such that $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 3.7. If $\mathcal{F}$ is foliation of M of rank l strictly smaller than the dimension of S, if we denote by σ^* the map from $\mathcal{T}_{M,S}$ to $\mathcal{T}_S$ given in Proposition 3.1, we shall denote by $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$ the coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules given by

$$\mathcal{F}^\sigma := \sigma^*(\mathcal{F}|_S).$$

We shall say that σ^* is $\mathcal{F}$**-faithful outside an analytic subset** $\Sigma \subset S$ if $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$ is a regular foliation of S of rank l on $S \setminus \Sigma$. If $\Sigma = \emptyset$ we shall simply say that σ^* is $\mathcal{F}$**-faithful**.

We refer to [ABT2] for a treatment of $\mathcal{F}$-faithfulness in the case of splittings. Assume that σ^* is $\mathcal{F}$-faithful: an interesting question is whether there exists an analogue of σ^* from $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ to $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$, which restricted to $\mathcal{T}_{M,S}$ coincides with σ^*;

this would permit us to project a transversal foliation to a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood.

First of all, we can suppose we are working on a splitting submanifold S.

Definition 3.8. We will call the sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(k)} := \mathcal{T}_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S(k)}$ the **restriction of the ambient tangent sheaf to the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood**.

We look for a splitting of the following sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}_{S(1)} \to \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)} \to \mathcal{N}_{S(1)} \to 0, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{S(1)}$ is the quotient of the two modules.

Remark 3.9. Let $(U_\alpha, z^1_\alpha, \dots, z^n_\alpha)$ be a coordinate system adapted to S. Please remember Remark 1.3; since S is a submanifold the ideal of S is generated by $z^1_\alpha, \dots, z^r_\alpha$ and we have that $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ is generated in U_α by:

$$[z^r_\alpha]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s_\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{m+1}_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^n_\alpha},$$

for r, s varying in $1, \dots, m$ while $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ is generated on U_α by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^n_\alpha}.$$

Let $\partial_{r,\alpha}$ be the image of $\partial/\partial z^r_\alpha$ in $\mathcal{N}_{S(1)}$ and ω^r_α its dual element. Now let

$$v = [f^k_\alpha]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k_\alpha}$$

be a section of $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$; we can see that the image of v in $\mathcal{N}_{S(1)}$ is nothing else than $[f^r_\alpha]_1 \partial_{r,\alpha}$. We denote by $[v]$ the equivalence class of v in $\mathcal{N}_{S(1)}$; please note that, given a function $[g]_2$ in $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$ the $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$-module structure is given by

$$[g]_2 \cdot [v] = [\theta_1([g]_2) \cdot v].$$

We compute now the transition functions of $\mathcal{N}_{S(1)}$; if we are in an atlas adapted to S we have that $z^s_\alpha = h^s_{\alpha\beta,r} z^r_\beta$. We have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{r,\alpha} = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z^r_\alpha}\right] &= \left[\frac{\partial z^k_\beta}{\partial z^r_\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^k_\beta}\right] = \left[\frac{\partial z^s_\beta}{\partial z^r_\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^s_\beta}\right] = \left[\frac{\partial (h^s_{\alpha\beta,r'} z^{r'}_\beta)}{\partial z^r_\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^s_\beta}\right]\\ &= \left[\frac{\partial (h^s_{\alpha\beta,r'})}{\partial z^r_\alpha} z^{r'}_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s_\beta}\right] + \left[h^s_{\alpha\beta,r'}\delta^{r'}_r \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s_\beta}\right] = [h^s_{\alpha\beta,r}]_2 \partial_{s,\beta},\end{aligned}$$

where last equality comes from the equivalence relations that define $\mathcal{N}_{S(1)}$.

Remark 3.10. Please note that the transition functions for $(\mathcal{N}_{S(1)})^*$, as an $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$-module are given by:

$$\omega^s_\beta = [h^s_{\alpha\beta,r}]_2 \omega^r_\alpha.$$

Please note that $(\mathcal{N}_{S(1)})^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}^2_S$ with the structure of $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$ module given by the projection $\theta_1 : \mathcal{O}_{S(1)} \to \mathcal{O}_S$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let M be a n-dimensional complex manifold, S a submanifold of codimension r. Then sequence* (11) *splits if S is 2-splitting, i.e. there exists an atlas adapted to S such that:*

$$\left[\frac{\partial z^p_\beta}{\partial z^r_\alpha}\right]_2 \equiv [0]_2, \tag{12}$$

for $p = m+1, \dots, n$ and $r = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. We have to compute the image in $H^1(S, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_{S(1)}, \mathcal{T}_{S(1)}))$ through the coboundary operator of cochain $\{U_\alpha \cap S, \omega^r_\alpha \otimes \partial_{r,\alpha}\}$ representing the identity in $H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_{S(1)}, \mathcal{N}_{S(1)}))$. We compute then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(U_\alpha, \omega^r_\alpha \otimes \partial_{r,\alpha}) =& \omega^r_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r_\beta} - \omega^s_\alpha \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s_\alpha} \\
=& \omega^r_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r_\beta} - \left[\frac{\partial z^s_\alpha}{\partial z^{r'}_\beta}\frac{\partial z^k_\beta}{\partial z^s_\alpha}\right]_2 \omega^{r'}_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k_\beta} \\
=& \omega^r_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r_\beta} - \left[\frac{\partial z^s_\alpha}{\partial z^{r'}_\beta}\frac{\partial z^r_\beta}{\partial z^s_\alpha}\right]_2 \omega^{r'}_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r_\beta} \\
& - \left[\frac{\partial z^p_\beta}{\partial z^s_\alpha}\frac{\partial z^s_\alpha}{\partial z^{r'}_\beta}\right]_2 \omega^{r'}_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p_\beta} \\
=& - \left[\frac{\partial z^p_\beta}{\partial z^s_\alpha}\frac{\partial z^s_\alpha}{\partial z^{r'}_\beta}\right]_2 \omega^{r'}_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p_\beta}. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

This class is clearly zero if we are using a 2-splitting atlas. □

Remark 3.12. In the last equality of the computation above there is marginal subtle point. If S is 2-splitting then it is splitting. We saw above that this implies that in an atlas adapted to S and to the splitting

$$\frac{\partial z^p_\alpha}{\partial z^r_\beta} \in \mathcal{I}_S, \quad \frac{\partial z^r_\alpha}{\partial z^p_\beta} \in \mathcal{I}_S.$$

We know also that:

$$\frac{\partial z^k_\alpha}{\partial z^r_\beta}\frac{\partial z^s_\beta}{\partial z^k_\alpha} = \delta^s_r.$$

Restricting ourselves to the 1-st infinitesimal neighborhood we have that:

$$[\delta^s_r]_2 = \left[\frac{\partial z^k_\alpha}{\partial z^r_\beta}\frac{\partial z^s_\beta}{\partial z^k_\alpha}\right]_2 = \left[\frac{\partial z^{r'}_\alpha}{\partial z^r_\beta}\frac{\partial z^s_\beta}{\partial z^{r'}_\alpha}\right]_2 + \left[\frac{\partial z^p_\alpha}{\partial z^r_\beta}\frac{\partial z^s_\beta}{\partial z^p_\alpha}\right]_2 = \left[\frac{\partial z^{r'}_\alpha}{\partial z^r_\beta}\frac{\partial z^s_\beta}{\partial z^{r'}_\alpha}\right]_2,$$

using the splitting hypothesis.

Remark 3.13. Looking at how we have constructed the splitting in the former Lemma, if $\tilde{\rho}$ is the θ_1-derivation associated to the splitting of S, we have that the splitting morphism $\sigma^* : \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)} \to \mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ is given in an atlas adapted to the two splitting by:

$$f^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} \mapsto \tilde{\rho}(f^r)\frac{\partial}{\partial z^r} + f^p \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p}.$$

Now the natural question is under which conditions the splitting of sequence (11) is equivalent to the existence of a 2-splitting atlas. It seems like the splitting of this sequence is not enough. Indeed, if we try to follow the usual approach in proving the argument, as in Proposition [ABT3, Theorem 2.1], we have some problems. The first thing we can remark is that the dual of $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ is nothing else than $\Omega_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$. Now, a splitting of (11) implies there exists a map γ from $\Omega_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$ to $(\mathcal{N}_{S(1)})^*$ and since we remarked that $(\mathcal{N}_{S(1)})^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$-module, through a map

$$\tau : (\mathcal{N}_{S(1)})^* \to \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2.$$

this gives rise to a splitting of the map

$$d_2 : \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2 \to \Omega_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$$

which sends an element $[f]_2$ of $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$ into $df \otimes [1]_2$. Now, there exists a well defined map d_3 from $\mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{I}_S^3$ to $\Omega_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$, which sends a class $[f]_3$ to $d\tilde{f} \otimes [1]_2$. The big problem is that, even if we suppose S comfortably embedded ([ABT3]), i.e., the sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{I}_S^2/\mathcal{I}_S^3 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^3 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2 \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

splits, we have that the splitting of (11) only gives us a map between $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$ and the image through the splitting $\nu : \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^3 \to \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$ of $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$ in $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^3$ and this map is not surjective. Therefore it is not a $\theta_{2,1}$-derivation splitting the short exact sequence of morphisms of rings

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^3 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{S(1)} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_S \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 3.14. To solve this problem we could find under which conditions there exists a splitting of the map $\tilde{d}_3 : \mathcal{I}_S^2/\mathcal{I}_S^3 \to \Omega_{M,S(1)}$. Using such a splitting and the comfortable embedding we could find a $\theta_{2,1}$-derivation splitting $\iota : \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^3 \to \mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$.

We define now a notion parallel to the one in Definition 3.7.

Definition 3.15. If $\mathcal{F}$ is foliation of M of rank l strictly smaller than dimension S, if we denote by σ_2^* the map from $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ to $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ splitting sequence (11), we shall denote by $\mathcal{F}^{\sigma_2}$ the coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$-modules given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\sigma_2} := \sigma_2^*(\mathcal{F}\,|_{S(1)}).$$

We shall say that σ_2^* is **first order $\mathcal{F}$-faithful outside an analytic subset** $\Sigma \subset S$ if $\mathcal{F}^{\sigma_2}$ is a regular foliation of $S(1)$ of rank l on $S \setminus \Sigma$. If $\Sigma = \emptyset$ we shall simply say that σ_2^* is **first order $\mathcal{F}$-faithful**.

We state some results coming from [ABT2], in particular Lemma 7.5 and Lemma 7.6 about $\mathcal{F}$-faithfulness.

Lemma 3.16 ([ABT2], Lemma 7.5)**.** *Let S be a splitting submanifold of a complex manifold M , and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation on M of dimension equal to* 1 *or to the dimension of S. If there exists $x_0 \in S \setminus Sing(F)$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is tangent to S at x_0 , i.e., $(\mathcal{F}\,|_S)x_0 \subset \mathcal{T}_{S,x_0}$, then any splitting morphism is $\mathcal{F}$-faithful outside a suitable analytic subset of S.*

Lemma 3.17 ([ABT2], Lemma 7.6)**.** *Let S be a non-singular hypersurface splitting in a complex manifold M , and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a one dimensional holomorphic foliation on M. Assume that S is not contained in $Sing(F)$. Then there is at most one splitting morphism σ^* which is not $\mathcal{F}$-faithful outside a suitable analytic subset of S.*

Indeed, speaking of first order $\mathcal{F}$-faithfulness we have a simple results which gives us some insight.

Lemma 3.18. *Let S be a submanifold* 2*-splitting in a complex manifold M , and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a one dimensional holomorphic foliation on M. Let $\sigma_2^* : \mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)} \to \mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ be the splitting morphism. If $\sigma_2^*|_S$ is $\mathcal{F}$-faithful outside an analytic subset Σ, then σ_2^* is first order $\mathcal{F}$-faithful outside Σ.*

Proof. We check that $\mathcal{F}^{\sigma_2}$ satisfies the requests of Definition 1.6. By hypothesis $\mathcal{F}^{\sigma_2}\,|_S$ is a foliation of S. Since the rank of $\mathcal{F}^{\sigma_2}$ is 1 it is an involutive subbundle of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$; moreover, for each point $x \in S \setminus \Sigma$ we can find a generator v of $\mathcal{F}_x^{\sigma_2}$ such that $v|_S$ is non zero. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_{S(1),x}\,/\,\mathcal{F}_x^{\sigma_2}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{S(1)}$-free. □

Directly from this last Lemma and Lemma 3.16 we have that.

Corollary 3.19. *Let S be a splitting submanifold of a complex manifold M , and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation on M of dimension equal to* 1 *or to the dimension of S. If there exists $x_0 \in S \setminus Sing(F)$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is tangent to S at x_0 , i.e., $(\mathcal{F}\,|_S)x_0 \subset \mathcal{T}_{S,x_0}$, then any* 2*-splitting morphism is first order $\mathcal{F}$-faithful outside a suitable analytic subset of S.*

4. Extension of foliations and embedding in the normal bundle

Definition 4.1. Let S be a codimension m submanifold of a n-dimensional complex manifold M. Let $S(1)$ be its first infinitesimal neighborhood and $S_N(1)$ be the first infinitesimal neighborhood of its embedding as the zero section of its normal bundle in M. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{N_S}$ the structure sheaf of the normal bundle of S and by $\mathcal{I}_{S,N_S}$ the ideal sheaf of S in N_S. We say $S_N(1)$ is **isomorphic** to $S(1)$ if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{N_S}/\mathcal{I}^2_{S,N_S} \to \mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{I}^2_S$ such that $\theta_1 \circ \phi = \theta_1^N$, where $\theta_1 : \mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{I}^2_S \to \mathcal{O}_S$ and $\theta_1^N : \mathcal{O}_{N_S}/\mathcal{I}^2_{S,N_S} \to \mathcal{O}_S$ are the canonical projections.

Proposition 4.2 ([ABT2] Prop. 1.3)**.** *Let S be a submanifold of a complex manifold M. Then S splits into M if and only if its first infinitesimal neighborhood $S(1)$ in M is isomorphic to its first infinitesimal neighborhood $S_N(1)$ in N_S, where we are identifying S with the zero section of N_S.*

Remark 4.3. In general, given a vector bundle E over a submanifold S, we have that $TE|_S$ is canonically isomorphic to $TS \oplus E$. When E is N_S this implies that the projection on the second summand of $TN_S|_S = TS \oplus N_S$ gives rise to an isomorphism of N_S and N_{0_S}, i.e., the normal bundle of S as the zero section of N_S. Therefore we have an isomorphism between $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}^2_S$ and $\mathcal{I}_{S,N_S}/\mathcal{I}^2_{S,N_S}$.

Let S be a codimension m submanifold of an n-dimensional complex manifold M and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of S. Thanks to the Holomorphic Frobenius theorem, we know that there exists an atlas $\{(U_\alpha; z^1_\alpha, \dots, z^n_\alpha)\}$ adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$. In such an atlas we know that $\mathcal{F} = \ker(dz^{m+l+1}_\alpha|_S, \dots, dz^n_\alpha|_S)$. An equivalent formulation of the Frobenius theorem states that a submodule of $\Omega^1(S)$ is integrable if and only if each stalk is generated by exact forms. We denote by $\pi : N_S \to S$ the normal bundle of S. The map π is holomorphic, therefore $\pi^*(dz^k_\alpha|_S)$ is a well defined local holomorphic 1-form on $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subset N_S$. Moreover, since $\{dz^{m+l+1}_\alpha|_S, \dots, dz^n_\alpha|_S\}$ is an integrable system of 1-forms, so is $\{\pi^*(dz^{m+l+1}_\alpha|_S), \dots, \pi^*(dz^n_\alpha|_S)\}$. Then $\{\pi^*(dz^{m+l+1}_\alpha|_S), \dots, \pi^*(dz^n_\alpha|_S)\}$ defines a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of N_S, whose leaves are the preimages of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ through π. Since S is regular TM is trivialized on each coordinate neighborhoods and so is N_S. In the following we use the atlas $\{(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha), v^1_\alpha, \dots, v^m_\alpha, z^{m+1}_\alpha, \dots, z^n_\alpha\}$ of N_S given by the trivializations of the normal bundle, where v^r_α are the coordinates in the fiber; then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is generated on $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v^1_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^m_\alpha}, \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{m+1}_\alpha}\right|_S, \dots, \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{m+l}_\alpha}\right|_S.$$

The fibers of π are the leaves of a holomorphic foliation of N_S, called the **vertical foliation**, which we denote by $\mathcal{V}$. On $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ it is generated by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v^1_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^m_\alpha}.$$

We study now the splitting of the following sequence, when restricted to the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the embedding of S as the zero section of N_S:

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V} \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}"] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} . \tag{14}$$

A result of Grothendieck [Gro] tells us that the splitting of the sequence is equivalent to the vanishing of a cohomology class in $H^1(M, \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}))$. The splitting of this sequence is equivalent to the fact that there exist an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \mathcal{V} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$ compatible with the projection pr and the map ι. Even if this result was already used implicitly in Section 2 we sketch a proof to show how it can be used operatively in our work. Indeed, let ω be the cohomology class associated to the splitting of a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G} \to 0; \tag{15}$$

this obstruction is the image of the identity homomorphism in $H^0(M, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}))$ into $H^1(M, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E}))$. By the Long Exact Sequence Theorem for Čech cohomology we compute ω in the following way: let $\{U_\alpha, \mathrm{Id}\}$ be the class representing the identity in $H^0(M, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}))$, we take a lift (U_α, τ_α) in $C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}))$ and take its Čech coboundary, $\{U_{\alpha\beta}, \tau_\beta - \tau_\alpha\}$. Clearly, $\mathrm{pr} \circ \tau_\beta - \mathrm{pr} \circ \tau_\alpha = 0$, so this is a well defined element of $C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E}))$. By diagram chasing, it is shown this is a Čech cocycle which represents ω. Suppose now ω is 0 in cohomology: this means there exists a cochain $\{U_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha\}$ in $C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E}))$ whose coboundary is ω, i.e. $\sigma_\beta - \sigma_\alpha = \tau_\beta - \tau_\alpha$. We define now a Čech cochain in $C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}))$ as $\{U_\alpha, \theta_\alpha\}$ where θ_α is defined on each U_α as:

$$\theta_\alpha : (v, w) \mapsto (\iota(v - \sigma_\alpha(w)) + \tau_\alpha(w)).$$

We compute now $\delta\{U_\alpha, \theta_\alpha\}$; on each $U_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\iota(v - \sigma_\beta(w)) + \tau_\beta(w) - \iota(v - \sigma_\alpha(w)) + \tau_\alpha(w) \\ &= \iota(\sigma_\alpha(w) - \sigma_\beta(w)) + \tau_\beta(w) - \tau_\alpha(w) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have a global isomorphism of sheaves between $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying our requests.

Remark 4.4. Please note that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$, when restricted to S is nothing else but the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. This follows directly from our construction of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ as the pull-back foliation defined by the integrable system $\{\pi^*(dz_\alpha^{m+l+1}|_S), \ldots, \pi^*(dz_\alpha^n|_S)\}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let S be a splitting submanifold in M. If there exists a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the embedding of S as the zero section of its normal bundle, then there exists a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood of S embedded in M.*

Proof. If there exists a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the embedding of S as the zero section of its normal bundle we can find an atlas of N_S given by $\{V_\alpha, u_\alpha^1, \ldots, u_\alpha^m, z_\alpha^{m+1}, \ldots, z_\alpha^n\}$ such that, if $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \cap S \neq \emptyset$ we have that

$$\left[\frac{\partial u_\alpha^r}{\partial z_\beta^i}\right]_2 = [0]_2; \quad \frac{\partial z_\alpha^{t'}}{\partial z_\beta^i} = 0$$

where $r = 1, \ldots, m$ and $t' = m + l + 1, \ldots, n$.
We use the isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{N_S}/\mathcal{I}_{S,N_S}^2 \to \mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{I}_S^2$, taking the images

$$[\tilde{z}_\alpha^1]_2 = \phi([u_\alpha^1]_2), \ldots, [\tilde{z}_\alpha^r]_2 = \phi(u_\alpha^r), [\tilde{z}_\alpha^{m+1}]_2 = \phi([z_\alpha^{m+1}]_2), \ldots, [\tilde{z}_\alpha^n]_2 = \phi(z_\alpha^n);$$

there exists open sets $U_\alpha \supset \pi(V_\alpha)$ (modulo shrinking) where we can choose representatives of these classes such that $(U_\alpha, \tilde{z}_\alpha^1, \ldots, \tilde{z}_\alpha^n)$, is a coordinate system adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$. If $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap S \neq \emptyset$ we can check that, since $\partial/\partial \tilde{z}_\beta^{m+1}, \ldots, \partial/\partial \tilde{z}_\alpha^{m+l}$ are logarithmic

$$\frac{\partial [\tilde{z}_\beta^r]_2}{\partial \tilde{z}_\alpha^i} = \left[\frac{\partial \tilde{z}_\beta^r}{\partial \tilde{z}_\alpha^i}\right]_2 = \left[\frac{\partial u_\beta^r}{\partial z_\alpha^i}\right]_2 = [0]_2,$$

for $r = 1, \ldots, m$ and $i = m + 1, \ldots, m + l + 1$. Following the same line of thought

$$\frac{\partial [\tilde{z}_\beta^{t'}]_2}{\partial \tilde{z}_\alpha^i} = \left[\frac{\partial \tilde{z}_\beta^{t'}}{\partial \tilde{z}_\alpha^i}\right]_2 = \left[\frac{\partial z_\beta^{t'}}{\partial z_\alpha^i}\right]_2 = [0]_2,$$

for $t' = m + l + 1, \ldots, n$ and $i = m + 1, \ldots, m + l + 1$. □

So, the problem of extending a foliation outside a submanifold boils down in the splitting case to understand when (14) splits and the image through the splitting of $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{V}$ is involutive. We start by finding a sufficient condition for this to happen.

Proposition 4.6. *Let M be a complex manifold of dimension n, and S a splitting codimension m submanifold. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of S and $\pi : N_S \to M$ the normal bundle of S in M. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^*(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{V}$ the vertical foliation given by $\ker d\pi$. The sequence:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V} \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[r, "pr"] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

splits if there exists an atlas adapted to $\mathcal{F}$ and S such that

$$\frac{\partial^2 z^r_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta \partial z^s_\beta} \in \mathcal{I}_S,$$

for all $r, s = 1, \dots, m$ and $i = m+1, \dots, m+l$.

Proof. We compute the obstruction to the splitting of the sequence, following [Ati] and [Gro]: we apply the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}, \cdot)$ to sequence (14) and compute the image of the identity through the coboundary map

$$\delta : H^0(S, \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V})) \to H^1(S, \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})).$$

We fix an atlas $\{U_\alpha, z_\alpha\}$ adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$ and we denote the quotient frame for $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$ by $\{\partial_{m+1,\alpha}, \dots, \partial_{m+l,\alpha}\}$ (i.e., $\partial_{m+1,\alpha}$ is the equivalence class of $\partial/\partial z^{m+1}_\alpha|_S$) and by $\{\omega^{m+1}_\alpha, \dots, \omega^{m+l}_\alpha\}$ its dual frame. The cocycle representing the identity in $H^0(S, \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}))$ is then represented as $\{U_\alpha, \omega^j_\alpha \otimes \partial_{j,\alpha}\}$; the obstruction to the splitting of the sequence is then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\{\omega^j_\alpha \otimes \partial_{j,\alpha}\} &= \omega^j_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j_\alpha} - \omega^j_\alpha \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j_\alpha} \\ &= \omega^j_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j_\alpha} - \left[\frac{\partial z^j_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta}\frac{\partial z^{j'}_\beta}{\partial z^j_\alpha}\right]_2 \omega^i_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j'}_\beta} - \left[\frac{\partial z^j_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta}\frac{\partial v^r_\beta}{\partial z^j_\alpha}\right]_2 \omega^i_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial v^r_\beta} \\ &= -\left[\frac{\partial z^j_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta}\frac{\partial v^r_\beta}{\partial z^j_\alpha}\right]_2 \omega^i_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial v^r_\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The vanishing of (16) is a sufficient condition for the splitting of the sequence; this class vanishes if $\partial v^r_\beta/\partial z^j_\alpha$ belong to $\mathcal{I}^2_{N_S}$. Moreover, the coordinate changes map of N_S have a peculiar structure:

$$v^r_\beta = v^s_\alpha \frac{\partial z^r_\alpha}{\partial z^s_\beta}.$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} -\left[\frac{\partial z^j_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta}\frac{\partial v^r_\beta}{\partial z^j_\alpha}\right]_2 &= -\left[\frac{\partial z^j_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j_\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial z^r_\alpha}{\partial z^s_\beta}\right)\right]_2 \\ &= -\left[v^s_\alpha \frac{\partial z^j_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta}\frac{\partial^2 z^r_\alpha}{\partial z^j_\alpha \partial z^s_\beta}\right]_2 = -\left[v^s_\alpha \frac{\partial z^j_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta}\frac{\partial^2 z^r_\alpha}{\partial z^s_\alpha \partial z^j_\beta}\right]_2; \end{aligned}$$

using the isomorphism between $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}^2_S$ and $\mathcal{I}_{S,N_S}/\mathcal{I}^2_{S,N_S}$ we see that the last expression vanishes if $\partial z^s_\alpha/\partial z^j_\beta \in \mathcal{I}^2_S$. □

Remark 4.7. Since we are working in an atlas of N_S adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$ we have that

$$\frac{\partial z^p_\alpha}{\partial v^r_\beta} \equiv 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial z^t_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta} \equiv 0,$$

for $p = m+1, \dots, n$, $r = 1, \dots, m$, $t = m+l+1, \dots, n$, $i = m+1, \dots, n$ (please remark that we are *not* following our usual convention). Looking at the transition functions of the tangent bundle of N_S, in an atlas adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$, on the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the embedding of S as the zero section of N_S, the following equality holds:

$$[\delta^i_j]_2 = \left[\frac{\partial v^r_\alpha}{\partial z^j_\beta}\frac{\partial z^i_\beta}{\partial v^r_\alpha} + \frac{\partial z^p_\alpha}{\partial z^j_\beta}\frac{\partial z^i_\beta}{\partial z^p_\alpha}\right]_2,$$

now, since $\partial z^p_\alpha / \partial v^r_\beta \equiv 0$ we have that

$$[\delta^i_j]_2 = \left[\frac{\partial z^p_\alpha}{\partial z^j_\beta}\frac{\partial z^i_\beta}{\partial z^p_\alpha}\right]_2$$

and since $\partial z^t_\alpha / \partial z^i_\beta \equiv 0$ for $t = m+l+1, \ldots, n$, $i = m+1, \ldots, n$ we have that

$$[\delta^i_j]_2 = \left[\frac{\partial z^{i'}_\alpha}{\partial z^j_\beta}\frac{\partial z^i_\beta}{\partial z^{i'}_\alpha}\right]_2,$$

where $i, j = m+1, \ldots, m+l$ and we sum over $i' = m+1, \ldots, m+l$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let S be a splitting submanifold of M, let $\mathcal{F}$ be foliation of S; if the sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V} \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[r, "pr"] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}.$$

splits on the first infinitesimal neighborhood of S embedded as the zero section of its normal bundle in M, then $\mathcal{F}$ extends as a subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$.

Proof. Suppose we are working in an atlas adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$; on S we have the following isomorphism:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_S = \mathcal{V}|_S \oplus \mathcal{F}\,. \tag{17}$$

This follows directly from our construction of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ as the pull-back foliation defined by the integrable system $\{\pi^*(dz^{m+l+1}_\alpha|_S), \ldots, \pi^*(dz^n_\alpha|_S)\}$. Therefore we have that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}|_S \simeq \mathcal{F}$ and this implies that the cochain representing (16) vanishes identically when restricted to S. Therefore we know that the components of the cochain $\{U_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha\}$ are identically 0 when restricted to S. Let v be a section of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$; its image $\tau_\alpha - \sigma_\alpha(v)$ is a section of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. From the discussion above we can remark is that $\sigma_\alpha(v)|_S \equiv 0$; moreover, since on S (17) holds we have that $\tau_\alpha(v)|_S \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}_S$ and this proves that v belongs to $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$. ☐

Corollary 4.9. *Let S be a splitting submanifold of M, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a rank 1 foliation of S; if the sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V} \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[r, "pr"] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}.$$

splits on the first infinitesimal neighborhood of S embedded as the zero section of its normal bundle in M, then $\mathcal{F}$ extends as a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood of S in M. Moreover, we can find an atlas adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$ given by a collection of charts $\{U_\alpha, (v^1_\alpha, \ldots, v^m_\alpha, z^{m+1}_\alpha \ldots, z^n_\alpha)\}$ such that the class (16) can be represented by the 0 cochain.

Proof. If $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\,\mathcal{V}$ has rank 1 we have that its image through the splitting morphism of (14) is a rank 1 (therefore involutive) subbundle of $\mathcal{T}_{S_N(1)}$. Thanks to Lemma 4.5 we have the first part of the assertion. Corollary 1.11 gives us the second part of the assertion. ☐

Corollary 4.10. *Let M be a n-dimensional complex manifold, S a codimension m splitting submanifold, $\mathcal{F}$ a regular foliation of S. Suppose S admits first order extendable tangent bundle, then $\mathcal{F}$ extends to a subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$.*

Proof. We work in an atlas adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$; by Corollary 1.11 first order extendable tangent bundle implies

$$\left[\frac{\partial z^r_\alpha}{\partial z^p_\beta}\right]_2 = 0$$

which in turn implies

$$\frac{\partial^2 z^r_\alpha}{\partial z^s_\beta \partial z^p_\beta} \in \mathcal{I}_S$$

and therefore that

$$\frac{\partial^2 z^r_\alpha}{\partial z^s_\beta \partial z^i_\beta} \in \mathcal{I}_S,$$

for $r, s = 1, \dots, m$, $i = m+1, \dots, m+l$, which in turn implies the vanishing of (16) and the splitting of sequence (14); the extension of $\mathcal{F}$ is then given by the image of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. □

Remark 4.11. The reason why the splitting of (14) is not a sufficient condition for the foliation to extend to the first infinitesimal neighborhood lies in the fact that the image of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$ may not be involutive. If this image is involutive we have a statement similar to the one in the last Corollary; anyway even if it is not involutive, thanks to the results in section 5, the splitting of (14) is enough to get some important insights on the Khanedani-Lehmann-Suwa action.

Remark 4.12. We want to see what happens in coordinates when we can extend the foliation. First of all, the vanishing of the class (16) in cohomology means there exists a cochain $\{U_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha\} \in C^0(S_N(1), (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{V}))^* \otimes \mathcal{V})$ such that:

$$\sigma_\beta - \sigma_\alpha = -\left[\frac{\partial z^j_\alpha}{\partial z^i_\beta}\frac{\partial v^r_\beta}{\partial z^j_\alpha}\right]_2 \omega^i_\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial v^r_\beta}.$$

In a coordinate system adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$ on each U_α we can write the elements of the cochain as

$$\sigma_\alpha = [c^s_{j,\alpha}]_2 \omega^j_\alpha \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial v^s_\alpha}.$$

Since the sequence splits when it is restricted to S we can assume that the coefficients $c^s_{j,\alpha}$ of each σ_α belong to $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}^2_S$. Without loss of generality we can suppose the local lifts τ_α send the generators of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$, that we denote by $\partial_{i,\alpha}$, in the coordinate fields $\partial/\partial z^i_\alpha$ (the difference about two different choices of lifts is absorbed by the cochain). Then a generator $\partial/\partial z^i_\alpha|_S$ of $\mathcal{F}$ on U_α extends to the section v of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ given by:

$$-[c^s_{j,\alpha}]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v^s_\alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j_\alpha}.$$

5. Action of subsheaves of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$

As usual let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of S: in this section we shall discuss how the existence of coherent subsheaves of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ that restricted to S are subsheaves of $\mathcal{F}$ gives rise to variation actions on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ that, restricted to S, is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$.*

Proof. Let $\{U_\alpha, z_\alpha\}$ be an atlas adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$. On each coordinate chart, a section v of $\mathcal{E}$ can be written as:

$$[a^u]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^u} + [a^i]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i},$$

with $a^u \in \mathcal{I}_S$. Therefore, thanks to Remark 2.4, we know that v belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$. □

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$; we say it is **S-faithful** if the restriction map $|_S : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}\,|_S$ is injective.

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ that, restricted to S, is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}$, that is generated on an open set U_α by $\tilde{v}_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \tilde{v}_{k,\alpha}$ and is S-faithful. Then there exists a partial holomorphic connection $(\delta, \mathcal{E})$ for $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.*

Proof. Since there are no generators sent to 0 by the restriction to S, then $\mathcal{E}\,|_{S\cap U_\alpha}$ is generated by $v_{k,\alpha} := \tilde{v}_{k,\alpha}|_S$. Please keep in mind that the generators of $\mathcal{E}|_S$ are always the restriction of the generators of $\mathcal{E}$, so, chosen the local generators of $\mathcal{E}$ we have a canonical way to extend the local generators of $\mathcal{E}\,|_S$.

Let π be the projection from $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ and w a section of $\mathcal{E}\,|_S$; we define a map $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{E}\,|_S \to \mathcal{A}$ by $\pi(w) := \pi(\tilde{w})$, where $\tilde{w}$ is an extension of w as a section of $\mathcal{E}$. On a trivializing neighborhood for $\mathcal{E}$ a section has the following form: $w = [f^k]_1 v_{k,\alpha} \in \mathcal{E}\,|_{S\cap U_\alpha}$. The difference between two representatives $\tilde{w}_1$ and $\tilde{w}_2$ of w in $\mathcal{E}$ on U_α can be written in the following form:

$$[g^k]_2 \tilde{v}_{k,\alpha}$$

where the g^k belong to $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$ and therefore belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S\,\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$. Therefore the map $\tilde{\pi}$ does not depend on the extension chosen.

Suppose now we have a section w of $\mathcal{E}|_S$ and two coordinate charts U_α and U_β on which the section is represented as $w_\alpha = [f^k_\alpha]_1 v_{k,\alpha}$ and $w_\beta = [f^k_\beta]_1 v_{k,\beta}$. Now, we have that, since $\mathcal{E}$ is a subbundle of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$

$$\tilde{v}_{k,\alpha} = [(h_{\alpha\beta})^h_k]_2 \tilde{v}_{h,\beta},$$

which implies also that:

$$[f^k_\alpha (h_{\alpha\beta})^h_k]_1 = [f^h_\beta]_1.$$

We take two extensions $\tilde{w}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{w}_\beta$ on U_α and U_β respectively: we claim their difference lies in $\mathcal{I}_S\,\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{w}_\beta - \tilde{w}_\alpha)|_S &= ([\tilde{f}^k_\alpha]_2 \tilde{v}_{k,\alpha} - [\tilde{f}^h_\beta]_2 \tilde{v}_{h,\beta})|_S \\ &= ([\tilde{f}^k_\alpha]_2 [h^h_{\alpha\beta,k}]_2 \tilde{v}_{h,\beta} - [\tilde{f}^h_\beta]_2 \tilde{v}_{h,\beta})|_S \\ &= \big[[f^k_\alpha (h_{\alpha\beta})^h_k]_2 - [f^h_\beta]_2\big]_1 v_{h,\beta} = [0]_1.\end{aligned}$$

As stated, the difference between the two extensions lies in $\mathcal{I}_S\,\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,S(1)}$. So, the map $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{E}|_S \to \mathcal{A}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_S$-morphism between $\mathcal{E}\,|_S$ and $\mathcal{A}$ giving a splitting of the following sequence:

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_S, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{E}\,|_S} \arrow[r, "\Theta_1"] & \mathcal{E}\,|_S \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}.$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{E}\,|_S}$ is the preimage of $\mathcal{E}\,|_S$ in $\mathcal{A}$ through Θ_1. □

Therefore, recalling Section 2 we have that there is a partial holomorphic connection on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ along $\mathcal{E}\,|_S$, given as follows:

$$\delta_v(s) = \tilde{X}_{\pi(\tilde{v})}(s),$$

where $\tilde{X}$ is the universal connection on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}}$.

Remark 5.4. This connection may not be flat. Therefore we can use Bott Vanishing Theorem 7.1 only in its non involutive form. But, in case $\mathcal{E}$ is involutive a stronger result holds.

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ is an involutive coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ that, restricted to S, is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and S-faithful. Then there exists a flat partial holomorphic connection $(\delta, \mathcal{E})$ for $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.*

Proof. From Proposition 5.3 we already know there exists a partial holomorphic connection along $\mathcal{E}$; since $\mathcal{E}$ is involutive we can check if it is flat:

$$\delta_u(\delta_v(s)) - \delta_v(\delta_u(s)) - \delta_{[u,v]}((s)) = \mathrm{pr}([\tilde{u}, [\tilde{v}, \tilde{s}]] - [\tilde{v}, [\tilde{u}, \tilde{s}]] - [[\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}], \tilde{s}]) = 0,$$

by the Jacobi identity. □

Remark 5.6. In the paper [ABT2] is defined the notion of Lie Algebroid morphism; given an involutive coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ the splitting that gives rise to the partial holomorphic connection is a Lie algebroid morphism and last Corollary mirrors the fact that the universal partial holomorphic connection is flat (Proposition 2.12).

Corollary 5.7. *Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ is an involutive coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$, whose restriction to S is a foliation of S and is S-faithful. Then there exists a flat partial holomorphic connection $(\delta, \mathcal{E})$ for $\mathcal{N}_S$.*

Proof. If we take $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T}_S$ in Corollary 5.5 the assertion follows. □

6. Singular holomorphic foliations of the first infinitesimal neighborhood

This section is devoted to precise what we mean by case a singular foliations of infinitesimal neighborhoods. In some sense, we want to prove an analogous of the following proposition, stated in [Su] and proved in [MY].

Proposition 6.1. *If a foliation is reduced, then $Codim\, S(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is locally free and if $Codim\, S(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is reduced.*

We define now the main object of our treatment.

Definition 6.2. A **singular foliation** of $S(k)$ is a rank l coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$, such that:

- for every $x \in S$ we have that $[\mathcal{F}_x, \mathcal{F}_x] \subseteq \mathcal{F}_x$ (where the bracket is the one defined in Lemma 1.5);
- the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to S, denoted by $\mathcal{F}|_S$, is a rank l singular foliation of S.

Definition 6.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a singular holomorphic foliation of $S(k)$. We set $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{T}_{S(k)} / \mathcal{F}$ and we denote by $S(\mathcal{F}) := \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}})$ the **singular set of the foliation**.

Definition 6.4. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a singular foliation of $S(k)$. We say $\mathcal{F}$ is **reduced** if it is full in $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$, i.e., for any open set U in S we have that

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{T}_{S(k)}) \cap \Gamma(U \setminus S(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}).$$

Lemma 6.5. *Let S be a submanifold of M and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a singular foliation of $S(k)$; then there exists a canonical way to associate to it a reduced singular foliation of $S(k)$.*

Proof. We cover now a neighborhood of S by open sets $\{U_\alpha\}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{U_\alpha \cap S}$ is generated by $v_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, v_{l,\alpha}$ and on each U_α we can extend the $v_{i,\alpha}$ to logarithmic vector fields $\tilde{v}_{i,\alpha}$ on U_α. On U_α the $\tilde{v}_{i,\alpha}$ define a distribution with sheaf of sections $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$; please remark that this is a sheaf on U_α, not on the whole M. We define $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} = \mathcal{T}_M|_{U_\alpha} / \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ and denote by $S(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ the set of singularity of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}$. In general, this distribution may not be reduced, i.e. $\Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_M) \cap \Gamma(U_\alpha \setminus S(D_\alpha), \mathcal{D}_\alpha) \neq \Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. We take now the annihilator $(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)^a = \{\omega \in \Omega_M \mid \omega(v) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha\}$. If we take its annihilator $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha := ((\mathcal{D}_\alpha)^a)^a = \{w \in \mathcal{T}_M \mid \omega(w) = 0 \text{ for every } \omega \in (\mathcal{D}_\alpha)^a\}$ we get now a reduced sheaf of sections of the distribution, generated by sections $\tilde{w}_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, \tilde{w}_{l,\alpha}$; we can take the same l because, since we are dealing with coherent sheaves, the rank is constant outside the singularity set.

Since $\Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\alpha) \subset \Gamma(U_\alpha, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha)$ we have that, $\tilde{v}_{i,\alpha} = (h_\alpha)^j_i \tilde{w}_{j,\alpha}$, where $(h_\alpha)^j_i$ is a matrix of holomorphic functions that **may** be singular on a subset of U_α of codimension smaller than 2, contained in $S(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Remark also that $S(\mathcal{F}) \subset S(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ and that the $\tilde{w}_{i,\alpha}$ are logarithmic vector fields.

We want to check now that $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S(k)} |_{(U_\alpha \cap S) \setminus S(\mathcal{F})}$ generates $\mathcal{F}$ and is involutive. We will denote the restriction of $\tilde{w}_{i,\alpha}$ to the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood by $w_{i,\alpha}$. Indeed, outside the singularity set, the matrix $(h_\alpha)^j_i$ is invertible as a matrix of holomorphic functions, with inverse $(g_\alpha)^i_j$ which implies that the $w_{i,\alpha}$'s generate $\mathcal{F}$. We check the involutivity:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\tilde{w}_{i,\alpha}, \tilde{w}_{i',\alpha}] \otimes [1]_{k+1} =& [(g_\alpha)^j_i \tilde{v}_{j,\alpha}, (g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i'} \tilde{v}_{j',\alpha}] \otimes [1]_{k+1}\\
=& [(g_\alpha)^j_i]_{k+1} v_{j,\alpha}([(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i'})]_{k+1}) v_{j',\alpha}\\
&- [(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i'}]_{k+1} v_{j'\alpha}([(g_\alpha)^j_i]_{k+1}) v_{j,\alpha}\\
&+ [(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i'}]_{k+1} [(g_\alpha)^j_i]_{k+1} [v_{j,\alpha}, v_{j',\alpha}].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark that $(g_\alpha)^j_i$ is a matrix of meromorphic functions on U_α (this follows from the Cramer rule for the inverse of a matrix), and its inverse is a matrix of holomorphic functions. Now, for each $v_{j,\alpha}$ we have that

$$v_{j,\alpha}([(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i'}]_{k+1}) = -[(g_\alpha)^{j''}_{i'}]_{k+1} v_{j,\alpha}([(h_\alpha)^{i''}_{j''}]_{k+1}) [(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i''}]_{k+1},$$

and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(g_\alpha)^j_i]_{k+1} v_{j,\alpha}([(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i'})]_{k+1}) v_{j',\alpha}\\
&\qquad = - [(g_\alpha)^j_i]_{k+1} [(g_\alpha)^{j''}_{i'}]_{k+1} v_{j,\alpha}([(h_\alpha)^{i''}_{j''}]_{k+1}) [(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i''}]_{k+1} v_{j',\alpha}\\
&\qquad = - [(g_\alpha)^{j''}_{i'}]_{k+1} w_{i,\alpha}([(h_\alpha)^{i''}_{j''}]_{k+1}) w_{i'',\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

A similar reasoning holds for the second summand in the involutivity check. If we denote by $[a^{j''}_{j,j'}]_{k+1}$ the elements of $\mathcal{O}_{S(k)}$ such that

$$[v_{j,\alpha}, v_{j',\alpha}] = [a^{j''}_{j,j'}]_{k+1} v_{j'',\alpha}$$

we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i'}]_{k+1} [(g_\alpha)^j_i]_{k+1} [v_{j,\alpha}, v_{j',\alpha}]\\
&\qquad = - [(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i'}]_{k+1} [(g_\alpha)^j_i]_{k+1} [a^{j''}_{j,j'}]_{k+1} v_{j'',\alpha}\\
&\qquad = - [(g_\alpha)^{j'}_{i'}]_{k+1} [(g_\alpha)^j_i]_{k+1} [a^{j''}_{j,j'}]_{k+1} [(h_\alpha)^{i''}_{j''}]_{k+1} w_{i'',\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

The point these computations prove is that $[\tilde{w}_{i,\alpha}, \tilde{w}_{i',\alpha}] \otimes [1]_{k+1}$ belongs to the module generated by the $w_{i,\alpha}$'s over the meromorphic functions. But, a priori, we know that this bracket is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{T}_{S(k)}$ and therefore it belongs to the $\mathcal{O}_{S(k)}$-module generated by the $w_{i,\alpha}$'s. □

Remark 6.6. By the proof of the Lemma above and by 6.1 we have an important consequence: each one of the extensions $\tilde{w}_{i,\alpha}$ has a singularity set of codimension at least 2.

7. Localization of Chern classes

In this section we give a short account of the theory that permits us to compute residues. Thanks to Theorem 6.1 in [ABT2], an extended version of Bott's vanishing theorem, we know that the existence of a partial holomorphic connection along a non involutive subbundle gives rise to vanishing of the characteristic classes of the bundle endowed with the connection.

Theorem 7.1 ([ABT2], Thm. 6.1)**.** *Let S be a complex manifold, F a sub-bundle of TS of rank l , and E a complex vector bundle on S. Assume we have a partial holomorphic connection on E along F . Then:*

- *every symmetric polynomial in the Chern classes of E of degree larger than* $\dim S - l + \lfloor l/2 \rfloor$ *vanishes (where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the largest integer less than or equal to x).*
- *Furthermore, if F is involutive and the partial holomorphic connection is flat then every symmetric polynomial in the Chern classes of E of degree larger than* $\dim S - l$ *vanishes.*

We give a sketch of the localization process we use, following [Su, pag. 194]. Indeed, let M be a complex manifold and let S be a compact complex submanifold of dimension n. Suppose we have an involutive coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ of rank l. Let $\Sigma := \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{T}_{S(1)} \,/\, \mathcal{F})$ and suppose $\mathcal{F}\,|_{S\setminus\Sigma}$ is a foliation of S of rank l. Then, we have a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood outside an algebraic subset Σ. From our discussion in Section 2 we know we have a flat partial holomorphic connection on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ along $\mathcal{F}\,|_S$ on $S\backslash\Sigma$; therefore the characteristic classes obtained evaluating a symmetric polynomial of degree $n-k$ larger than $n-l$ on the Chern classes of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ vanish when restricted to $S\setminus\Sigma$. Let now η be such a characteristic class, obtained from a symmetric polynomial of degree $n-k$; if we inspect the long exact sequence for the cohomology of the pair $(S, S\setminus\Sigma)$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^{2(n-k)}(S, S\setminus\Sigma) \longrightarrow H^{2(n-k)}(S) \longrightarrow H^{2(n-k)}(S\setminus\Sigma)\ldots,$$

we can notice that, since η vanishes on $S\backslash\Sigma$ we can lift it to a class in $H^{2(n-k)}(S, S\setminus\Sigma)$; please note this lift depends on the partial holomorphic connection and therefore we denote it by (η,∇). Since S is compact we now can apply Poincaré duality P_S and Alexander duality A_Σ obtaining the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{2(n-k)}(S, S\setminus\Sigma) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "A_\Sigma"] & H^{2(n-k)}(S) \arrow[d, "P_S"] \\
H_{2k}(\Sigma) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & H_{2k}(S).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since Σ is the union of its connected components Σ_α, its homology is the direct sum of the homologies of each connected component; the Alexander duality is compatible with this decomposition. Therefore we obtain the following residue formula:

$$\sum_\alpha \iota_\alpha(A_{\Sigma_\alpha})((\eta,\nabla)) = P_S(\eta).$$

In case $k=0$ what we are doing is to associate to each connected component Σ_α a number and the sum of all these numbers is $P_S(\eta)$. We want now to understand how we compute the residue of a characteristic class arising from a polynomial of degree n; we will use the tool of Čech-deRham cohomology (refer to [Su]), before proceeding we need to cite some results and define some notation.

Remark 7.2. Please note that the Bott Vanishing Theorem in this form not only tells us that the de Rham cohomology class of a Chern class vanishes, but also that the form representing it vanishes.

We refer to [Su, pag. 71] for the theory regarding virtual bundles. Before progressing we need a couple of definitions.

Definition 7.3. A **virtual bundle** is an element in the K-group $K(M)$ of M [MS],[Su]. In particular, if we have complex vector bundles E_i with $i = 0, \dots, q$ over a smooth manifold M we may consider the virtual bundle $\xi = \sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^i E_i$.

Definition 7.4. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow E_q \xrightarrow{\psi_q} \cdots \longrightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} E_0 \to 0, \tag{18}$$

be a sequence of vector bundles on M, and for each $i = 0, \dots, q$, let $\nabla^{(i)}$ be a connection for E_i. We say that the family $\nabla^{(q)}, \dots, \nabla^{(0)}$ is **compatible with the sequence** if, for each i, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A^0(M, E_i) \arrow[r, "\nabla^{(i)}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_i"'] & A^1(M, E_i) \arrow[d, "1\otimes\psi_i"] \\
A^0(M, E_{i-1}) \arrow[r, "\nabla^{(i-1)}"] & A^1(M, E_{i-1}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 7.5. The following Proposition from the book of Suwa is really general in scope, dealing with virtual bundles and Čech-de Rham cohomology.

We refer to [MS, p. 164] for the proof of the formulas which express the Chern classes of $E \oplus F$ as products and sums of the Chern classes of E and F. In general for a direct sum of vector bundles $E \oplus F$, the total Chern class $\mathrm{c}(E \oplus F) = \mathrm{c}(E) \smile \mathrm{c}(F)$. This permits us to compute the Chern classes of $E \oplus F$ as polynomials in the Chern classes of E and F; the simplest example is the first Chern class of the bundle $E \oplus F$: we have that $c_1(E \oplus F) = c_1(E) + c_1(F)$.

A really interesting fact about virtual bundles is that Chern classes behave naturally with respect to them, generalizing the discussion about the Chern class of a direct sum above. In general, if ϕ is a symmetric polynomial, $\xi := \sum_{i=0}^{q} E_i$ is a virtual bundle and $\nabla^{\bullet}$ denotes a family of connections $\nabla^{(q)}, \dots, \nabla^{(1)}$ for ξ then we can express $\phi(\xi)$ as a finite sum

$$\phi(\xi) = \sum_l \phi_l^{(0)}(E_0) \wedge \ldots \wedge \phi_l^{(q)}(E_q),$$

where $\phi_l^{(i)}(E_i)$ are polynomials in the Chern classes of E_i for each i and l. Then

$$\phi(\nabla^{\bullet}) = \sum_l \phi_l^{(0)}(\nabla^{(0)}) \wedge \ldots \wedge \phi_l^{(q)}(\nabla^{(q)})$$

represents the cohomology class of $\phi(\xi)$.

In [Su] it is proved that there exists a form $\phi(\nabla_0^{\bullet}, \dots, \nabla_p^{\bullet})$, called the **Bott difference form** such that

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{p} \phi(\nabla_0^{\bullet}, \dots, \widehat{\nabla}_\nu^{\bullet}, \dots, \nabla_p^{\bullet}) + (-1)^p d\phi(\nabla_0^{\bullet}, \dots, \nabla_p^{\bullet}) = 0,$$

where the hat means the hatted family is not taken into consideration.

Proposition 7.6 ([Su], p. 73)**.** *Suppose sequence* (18) *is exact. Let ϕ be a symmetric polynomial and $\nabla_k^{\bullet} = \{\nabla_k^{(q)}, \dots, \nabla_k^{(0)}\}$ for $k = 0, \dots, p$ families of connections compatible with* (18) *for the virtual bundle $\tilde{\xi} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} (-1)^{i-1} E_i$. Then:*

$$\phi(\tilde{\nabla}_0^{\bullet}, \dots, \tilde{\nabla}_p^{\bullet}) = \phi(\nabla_0^{(0)}, \dots, \nabla_p^{(0)})$$

Similarly for other "partitions" of the virtual bundle ξ. In particular

$$\phi(\tilde{\xi}) = \phi(E_0),$$

We state now Bott vanishing theorem in the version for virtual bundles.

Theorem 7.7 (Bott Vanishing theorem, [Su] pag. 76)**.** *Let M be a complex manifold of dimension n and F an involutive subbundle of rank p of TM. Also, for each $i = 0, \dots, q$ let E_i be a bundle and let $\nabla^{(i)}_1, \dots, \nabla^{(i)}_k$ be partial holomorphic connections for E_i along F, then, for any homogeneous symmetric polynomial ϕ of degree $d > n - p$ we have*

$$\phi(\nabla^\bullet_1, \dots, \nabla^\bullet_k) \equiv 0,$$

where $\nabla^\bullet_j = (\nabla^{(q)}_j, \dots, \nabla^{(0)}_j)$, for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

8. Index theorems for foliations and involutive closures

Following the work [Su] and the articles [ABT], [ABT2], we know that the existence of a partial holomorphic connection, thanks to Bott's Vanishing Theorem 7.1, gives rise to the vanishing of some of the Chern classes of a vector bundle and therefore to an index theorem. In Section 2 we found a concrete realization of the Atiyah sheaf for the normal bundle of a foliation as a quotient of the ambient tangent bundle and we proved that the Atiyah sequence splits if there exists a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood. In this section we state the index theorems that follow directly from our treatment.

The simpler case is when we have a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood; then we have a partial holomorphic connection on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ (Corollary 2.14) and so, Bott's Vanishing Theorem (Theorem 7.1) permits us to prove the following.

Theorem 8.1. *Let S be a codimension m compact submanifold of a n dimensional complex manifold M. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a rank l foliation on S, such that it extends to the first infinitesimal neighborhood of $S \setminus S(\mathcal{F})$, and let $S(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ be the decomposition of $S(\mathcal{F})$ in connected components. Then for every symmetric homogeneous polynomial ϕ of degree k larger than $n - m - l$ we can define the residue $Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) \in H_{2(n-m-k)}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ depending only on the local behaviour of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ near Σ_λ such that:*

$$\sum_\lambda Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) = \int_S \phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}),$$

where $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ is the evaluation of ϕ on the Chern classes of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.

Proof. If we denote by F the vector bundle associated to $\mathcal{F}$ we have that the virtual bundle associated with the sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ is nothing else but $[TM|_S - F|_S]$. Now, outside the singularity set of $\mathcal{F}$, this virtual bundle is a vector bundle on S and by Corollary 2.14 it admits a partial holomorphic connection along $\mathcal{F}|_S$; Bott Vanishing Theorem tells us that for each ϕ of degree k larger than $n-m-l$ we have that the restriction of $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ to $S \setminus S(\mathcal{F})$ is represented by the 0 form. Applying the localization process as in Section 7 the result follows. □

Using now the results of Section 5 we can prove a stronger result.

Theorem 8.2. *Let S be a codimension m compact submanifold of a n dimensional complex manifold M. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on S and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank l subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ that, restricted to S, is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Suppose moreover that it is S-faithful. Let $\Sigma = S(\mathcal{F}) \cup S(\mathcal{E})$ and let $\Sigma = \bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ be the decomposition of Σ in connected components. Then for every symmetric homogeneous polynomial ϕ of degree k larger than $n-m-l+\lfloor l/2 \rfloor$ we can define the residue $Res_\phi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) \in H_{2(n-m-k)}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ depending only on the local behaviour of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ near Σ_λ such that:*

$$\sum_\lambda Res_\phi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) = \int_S \phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}),$$

where $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ *is the evaluation of* ϕ *on the Chern classes of* $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$*.*

Remark 8.3. Please note that by Corollary 5.5 if $\mathcal{E}$ is involutive the above holds with $n-m-l$ instead of $n-m-l+\lfloor l/2 \rfloor$.

Suppose now we have a foliation of M, transversal to S, 2-splitting submanifold of M, and suppose we have a first order $\mathcal{F}$-faithful splitting σ_2 outside an algebraic subset. Now, the foliation $\mathcal{F}^{\sigma_2}$ is a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood of S and by Theorem 8.1 we have the following.

Theorem 8.4. *Let* S *be a codimension* m 2*-splitting compact submanifold of a* n *dimensional complex manifold* M*. Let* $\mathcal{F}$ *be a rank* l *holomorphic foliation defined on a neighborhood of* S*. Suppose there is a* 2*-splitting first order* $\mathcal{F}$*-faithful outside an analytic subset* Σ *of* U *containing* $S(\mathcal{F}) \cap S$ *and that* S *is not contained in* Σ*. Let* $\Sigma = \bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ *be the decomposition of* Σ *in connected components. Then for every symmetric homogeneous polynomial* ϕ *of degree* k *bigger than* $n-m-l$ *we can define the residue* $Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M}; \Sigma_\lambda) \in H_{2(n-m-k)}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ *depending only on the local behaviour of* $\mathcal{F}$ *and* $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M}$ *near* Σ_λ *such that:*

$$\sum_\lambda Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M}; \Sigma_\lambda) = \int_S \phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M}),$$

where $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M})$ *is the evaluation of* ϕ *on the Chern classes of* $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M}$*.*

Remark 8.5. An interesting research path is to investigate the relation between $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}|_S$. The motivation behind this question is easily seen: suppose M is a complex surface and $\mathcal{F}$ is a dimension 1 singular foliation transversal to S, a 2-splitting 1 dimensional submanifold. Suppose moreover that the sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{F} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{T}_M \arrow[r] & \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

splits when restricted to S. Suppose we have a first order $\mathcal{F}$-faithful splitting σ^* outside Σ; thanks to the splitting of S and the splitting of the sequence above σ^* induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}|_S$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M}$ outside the singular points of $\mathcal{F}$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ admits an algebraic compact leaf L. If we denote by $\mathcal{N}_L$ the normal sheaf to this leaf we have that $\mathcal{N}_L \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}|_L$ and we have that

$$\int_S c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M}) = \int_S c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}}|_S) = \int_S c_1(N_L) = (L \cdot S)$$

is the intersection number between L and S. Therefore we could apply this test to foliations, getting informations on the intersection numbers of possible analytic leaves.

The other results follow from the splitting of the sequence (14) studied in Section 4. In case $\mathcal{F}$ has rank 1 and we do not need to take care of involutivity we have the following consequence of 8.1.

Theorem 8.6. *Let* S *be a codimension* m *compact submanifold splitting in an* n *dimensional complex manifold* M*, and suppose* $\mathcal{F}$ *is a rank* 1 *holomorphic foliation defined on* S*. Suppose sequence* (14) *splits and let* $\Sigma = S(\mathcal{F})$ *and let* $\Sigma = \bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ *be the decomposition of* Σ *in connected components. Then for every symmetric homogeneous polynomial* ϕ *of degree* $n-m$ *we can define the residue* $Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) \in H_0(\Sigma_\alpha)$ *depending only on the local behaviour of* $\mathcal{F}$ *and* $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ *near* Σ_λ *such that:*

$$\sum_\lambda Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) = \int_S \phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}),$$

where $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ *is the evaluation of* ϕ *on the Chern classes of* $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$*.*

Now, if $\mathcal{F}$ has rank l and we suppose its extension arising from the splitting of (14) is involutive we have the following.

Theorem 8.7. *Let S be a codimension m compact submanifold splitting in M, n dimensional complex manifold, and suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a rank l holomorphic foliation defined on S. Suppose sequence* (14) *splits and that the image of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is involutive. Let $\Sigma = S(\mathcal{F})$ and let $\Sigma = \bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ be the decomposition of Σ in connected components. Then for every symmetric homogeneous polynomial ϕ of degree k larger than $n-m-l$ we can define the residue $Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) \in H_{2(n-m-k)}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ depending only on the local behaviour of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ near Σ_λ such that:*

$$\sum_\lambda Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) = \int_S \phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}),$$

where $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ is the evaluation of ϕ on the Chern classes of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.

In case we drop the involutivity assumption we have a weaker form thanks to Theorem 5.3.

Theorem 8.8. *Let S be a codimension m compact submanifold splitting in M complex manifold of dimension n. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a foliation of S of rank l and suppose sequence* (14) *splits. Let $\Sigma = S(\mathcal{F})$ and let $\Sigma = \bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ be the decomposition of its singular set in connected components. Then, for every symmetric homogeneous polynomial ϕ of degree k larger than $n-m-l+\lfloor l/2 \rfloor$ we can define the residue $Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\alpha) \in H_{2(n-m-k)}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ depending only on the local behaviour of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ near Σ_α such that:*

$$\sum_\lambda Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\alpha) = \int_S \phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}),$$

where $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ is the evaluation of ϕ on the Chern classes of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.

In the case S has first order extendable tangent bundle the vanishing of the cohomology class associated to (14) follows directly from Corollary 4.10, but we cannot say anything about the involutivity of this extension.

Theorem 8.9. *Let S be a codimension m compact submanifold splitting in an n dimensional complex manifold M, and with first order extendable tangent bundle. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a rank l holomorphic foliation defined on S. Let $\Sigma = S(\mathcal{F})$ and let $\Sigma = \bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ be the decomposition of Σ in connected components. Then for every symmetric homogeneous polynomial ϕ of degree k larger than $n-m-l+\lfloor l/2 \rfloor$ we can define the residue $Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) \in H_{2(n-m-k)}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ depending only on the local behaviour of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ near Σ_λ such that:*

$$\sum_\lambda Res_\phi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}; \Sigma_\lambda) = \int_S \phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}),$$

where $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ is the evaluation of ϕ on the Chern classes of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.

Remark 8.10. From the theory developed in Section 4 it seems likely that, given a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of the first infinitesimal neighborhood and an involutive subsheaf $\mathcal{G}$ of rank l of $\mathcal{F}|_S$ this subsheaf extends to a subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}$, possibly non involutive. This does not give rise to new index theorems, but is indeed worth noting and investigating.

Another interesting result following from our theory is obtained by defining, for a coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$, a natural object, its involutive closure, the smallest involutive subsheaf containing $\mathcal{E}$. Thanks to the machinery developed in Section 5, it is proved that the existence of $\mathcal{E}$ gives rise to vanishing theorems for its involutive closure.

Definition 8.11. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ such that $\mathcal{E}|_S$ is non empty. We denote by $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{E})$ the set $\{x \in S \mid \mathcal{T}_{S(1)} / \mathcal{E} \text{ is not } \mathcal{O}_{S(1),x}-\text{free}\}$. On $S \setminus \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{E})$ we define the **involutive closure** $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ in S to be the intersection of all the coherent involutive subsheaves of $\mathcal{T}_S$ containing $\mathcal{E}|_S$.

Recall that the intersection of coherent subsheaves of $\mathcal{T}_S$ is again a coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_S$; now, $\mathcal{G}$ is involutive by definition and therefore gives rise to a foliation of S. Clearly, $\mathcal{E}|_S$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{G}$ and we can apply Proposition 5.3 getting the following result.

Theorem 8.12. *Let S be a codimension m compact submanifold of M complex manifold of dimension n. Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ of rank l, whose restriction $\mathcal{E}|_S$ has rank l. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the involutive closure of $\mathcal{E}$ in S. Let $\Sigma = S(\mathcal{E}) \cup S(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcup_\alpha \Sigma_\alpha$ be the decomposition of Σ in connected components. Then, for every symmetric homogeneous polynomial ϕ of degree k larger than $n-m-l+\lfloor l/2 \rfloor$ we can define the residue $Res_\phi(\mathcal{E}|_S, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G},M}; \Sigma_\alpha) \in H_{2(n-m-k)}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ depending only on the local behaviour of $\mathcal{E}|_S$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G},M}$ near Σ_α such that:*

$$\sum_\lambda Res_\phi(\mathcal{E}|_S, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G},M}; \Sigma_\alpha) = \int_S \phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G},M}),$$

where $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G},M})$ is the evaluation of ϕ on the Chern classes of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G},M}$.

9. Computing the residue in the simplest case

In this section we will compute the residue for a codimension 1 foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood of a codimension 1 submanifold in a surface. Let (U_1, x, y) be a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^2$, let $S = \{x = 0\}$; let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of $S(1)$ such that $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \{0\}$ and let v be a generator of $\mathcal{F}$; that is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$ with an isolated singularity in 0. Supposing $\mathcal{F}$ reduced, from Section 6 and Remark 6.6 we see that this is assumption does not give rise to a loss of generality for our computation.

Remark 9.1. Please note also that, if we denote by $\tilde{v}$ an extension of v to U_1 and by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the foliation generated by it, thanks to how we defined the holomorphic action and the theory developed for local extensions, the computation of this residue could be reduced to the computation of the residue given by the Lehmann-Khanedani-Suwa action of $\tilde{v}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}|_S$, which can be found e.g. in [Su, Ch. IV, Theorem 5.3].

We will, anyway, compute the index explictly in the framework we developed. Call $U_0 := U_1 \setminus \{0\}$; with an abuse of notation we will also say $M := U_1$. Let G be the trivial line bundle on S; we can see $v|_S$ as a holomorphic homomorphism between G and TS. On U_0 we can see G as a subbundle of $TM|_S$, moreover G embedded through $v|_S$ is nothing else that the bundle associated to $\mathcal{F}|_S$. Therefore, we can speak of the virtual bundle $[TM|_S - G]$, which coincides, on U_0, with the normal bundle to the foliation $\mathcal{F}|_{U_0 \cap S}$ in the ambient tangent bundle $TM|_{U_0 \cap S}$, denoted by $N_{\mathcal{F},M}$. Since the only homogeneous symmetric polynomial in dimension 1 is the trace we would like to compute the residue for the first Chern class of $[TM|_S - G]$, whose sheaf of sections is $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$. Being the first Chern class additive, we are going to compute $c_1(TM|_S) - c_1(G)$. If U_0 is small enough, thanks to the embedding of G into $TM|_S$ we have that on U_0 we can see $TM|_S$ as the direct sum $G \oplus N_{\mathcal{F},M}$. We are going to apply Proposition 7.6 to the following sequence:

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & F|_{U_0 \cap S} \arrow[r] & TM|_{U_0 \cap S} \arrow[r] & N_{\mathcal{F},M} \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

We want to build on U_0 a family of connections compatible with the sequence, so that Theorem 7.7 implies that $c_1(N_{\mathcal{F},M})$ on U_0 is 0. We proved that the existence of a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood gives rise to partial connection on $N_{\mathcal{F},M}$. Now, thanks to Corollary 2.14 we can compute the actual connection matrix of this partial holomorphic connection on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ and extend it to a connection on $N_{\mathcal{F},M}$, denoted by ∇. To build a family of connections simplifying our computations we take on $U_0 \cap S$ the connection ∇^G_0 which is trivial with respect with the generator 1_G of the trivial line bundle G. Since $TM|_S$ on $U_0 \cap S$ is the direct sum of G and $N_{\mathcal{F},M}$ we let the connection for $TM|_S$ be the direct sum connection $\nabla^{TM}_0 := \nabla \oplus \nabla^G_0$. Both ∇^{TM}_0 and ∇^G_0 are holomorphic connections along F, therefore we can apply Bott's Vanishing in the version for virtual bundles and obtain that $c_1(N_{\mathcal{F},M}) \equiv 0$ on U_0.

In Čech-de Rham cohomology relative to the cover $\{U_0, U_1\}$ the first Chern class of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ is represented as a triple $(\omega_0, \omega_1, \sigma_{01})$, where ω_0 is the first Chern class of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ on U_0, ω_1 is the first Chern class of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ on U_1 while σ_{01} is a 1-form, the Bott difference form, i.e., a 1-form such that $\omega_1 - \omega_0 = d\sigma_{01}$ on $U_0 \cap U_1$(for a complete treatment, refer to [Su]). Due to the additivity of the first Chern class, to compute the first Chern class of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ we need to compute the first Chern classes of G and $TM|_S$ on U_1 (we already know the first Chern class of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$ on U_0 is 0) and the Bott difference forms $c_1(\nabla^{TM}_0, \nabla^{TM}_1)$ and $c_1(\nabla^G_0, \nabla^G_1)$. On U_1 we can take, again, as a connection for G the connection which is trivial with respect to the generator 1_G of G: therefore $c_1(\nabla^G_0, \nabla^G_1) = 0$, since the connections for G on U_0 and U_1 are the same. On U_1 we take as ∇^{TM}_1 the $\partial/\partial x$, $\partial/\partial y$ trivial connection; then $c_1(\nabla^{TM}_1) = 0$ and the problem reduces to compute the Bott difference form $c_1(\nabla^{TM}_0, \nabla^{TM}_1)$. To compute it we need the connection matrix for ∇^{TM}_0 with respect to the frame $\partial/\partial x$, $\partial/\partial y$. First of all we compute the action of ∇ on the equivalence class $\nu = [\partial/\partial x]$ in $N_{\mathcal{F},M}$. The generator v of $\mathcal{F}$ is written in coordinates as

$$[A]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + [B]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where $[A]_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$. In the following, we shall denote by v_S the restriction of $\tilde{v}$ to S; in coordinates we have that $v_S = [B]_1 \partial/\partial y$. We compute now the action of F on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$, recalling Corollary 2.14

$$\nabla_{v_S}(\nu) = \mathrm{pr}\left(\left[[A]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + [B]_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right]\Big|_S\right) = -\left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\right]_1 \nu.$$

We compute now the connection matrix for ∇. Since

$$-\left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\right]_1 = ([C]_1 \cdot dx + [D]_1 \cdot dy)([B]_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = [D \cdot B]_1,$$

it follows that the connection matrix is nothing else but:

$$\omega = -\left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\frac{1}{B}\right]_1 dy.$$

We have now all the tools needed to compute the connection matrix for ∇^{TM}_0:

$$\nabla^{TM}_0\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = \nabla(\nu) = -\left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{1}{B}\right]_1 dy \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$
$$\nabla^{TM}_0\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = \nabla^G_0\left(\frac{1}{B} \cdot v\right) = -\left[\frac{dB}{B^2}\right]_1 \cdot v = -\left[\frac{dB}{B}\right]_1 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Thus the connection matrix has the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\frac{1}{B}\right]_1 dy & 0 \\ 0 & -\left[\frac{dB}{B}\right]_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can compute now the Bott difference form. We consider the bundle $TM \times [0,1] \to M \times [0,1]$ and the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ given by $\tilde{\nabla} := (1-t)\nabla_0^{TM} + t\nabla_1^{TM}$. The connection matrix for $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by:

$$(1-t)\cdot \begin{bmatrix} -\left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\frac{1}{B}\right]_1 dy & 0 \\ 0 & -\left[\frac{dB}{B}\right]_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The curvature matrix for $\tilde{\nabla}$ is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} dt \wedge \left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\frac{1}{B}\right]_1 dy & 0 \\ 0 & dt \wedge \left[\frac{dB}{B}\right]_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Bott difference form is given by $\pi_*(c_1(\tilde{\nabla}))$ where π_* is integration along the fibre of the projection $\pi : M \times [0,1] \to M$. The Bott difference form is then:

$$\left[\frac{1}{B}\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\right]_1 dy + \left[\frac{dB}{B}\right]_1.$$

So, the residue for $c_1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ in 0 is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\{x=0,|y|=\varepsilon\}} \left[\frac{1}{B}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial y}\right)\right]_1 dy.$$

Remark 9.2. Already with slightly harder examples the computations of indices turn out to be really complicated; please note that while Remark 9.1 tells us that in higher dimension the computation follows almost directly from known results it would be interesting to compute the indices when dealing with singularities that are not isolated.

10. THE RESIDUE FOR THE SIMPLEST TRANSVERSAL CASE

Let (U_1, x, y) be a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^2$, let $S = \{x = 0\}$. Let now v be a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ with an isolated singularity in 0. As before, we call $U_0 := U_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $M = U_1$. Please remark that we drop the hypothesis about v belonging to $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$. We want to compute the variation index for such a foliation. Since the situation is local we can assume we have a local 2 splitting, first order $\mathcal{F}$-faithful outside 0 and that we are in a chart adapted to it and therefore we have a map $\mathcal{T}_{M,S(1)}$ to $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$. Write $\tilde{v}$ in coordinates as:

$$\tilde{v} = [A(x,y)]_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + [B(x,y)]_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Now we can write $[A(x,y)]_2 = [\tilde{\rho}([A(x,y)]_2) + R(x,y)]_2$, where $\tilde{\rho}$ is the θ_1 derivation associated to the 1-splitting induced by the 2-splitting; then,

$$\sigma^*(\tilde{v}) = (\tilde{\rho}([A(x,y)]_2)\partial/\partial x + B(x,y)\partial/\partial y.$$

Moreover, we have a splitting $\sigma^* : \mathcal{T}_{M,S} \to \mathcal{T}_S$, givings rise on $U_0 \cap S$ to an isomorphism between $\mathcal{F}_S$, the sheaf of germs of sections of the foliation generated by $v_S := v|_S$ and the sheaf of germs of sections of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$. Now, the vector field

$$w = [\tilde{\rho}([A(x,y)]_2)]_2\partial/\partial x + [B(x,y)]_2\partial/\partial y$$

is a section of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$, giving rise to a foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood. We can now compute the index as in the former section: the residue for $c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,M})$

is therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\{|y|=\varepsilon\}}&\left[\frac{1}{B}\left(\frac{\partial[\tilde{\rho}([A]_2)]_2}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial B}{\partial y}\right)\right]_1 dy\\ &=\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\{|y|=\varepsilon\}}\left[\frac{1}{B}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\cdot x\right)+\frac{\partial B}{\partial y}\right)\right]_1 dy\\ &=\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\{|y|=\varepsilon\}}\left[\frac{1}{B}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial B}{\partial y}\right)\right]_1 dy.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 10.1. The term

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2}\cdot x$$

in the last computation disappears since it belongs to $\mathcal{I}_S$.

11. A COUPLE OF REMARKS ABOUT EXTENDABILITY OF FOLIATIONS

In this short section we would like to summarize some of the results of this paper, stressing their importance towards the understanding of the following problem: when is it possible to extend a holomorphic foliation on a submanifold S of codimension m in a complex manifold M to a neighborhood of S? Thanks to Theorem 2.9 we know that, if there exists a rank l foliation of the first infinitesimal neighborhood, if we take any symmetric polynomial ϕ of degree larger than $n-m-l$ then $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ vanishes. Therefore, given a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on S, the classes $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ are obstructions to find an extension to the first infinitesimal neighborhood, where ϕ is a symmetric polynomial of degree larger than $n-m-l$. In the splitting case we have much more information. As a matter of fact, if the sequence

$$0\to\mathcal{V}\to\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\to\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}\to 0$$

splits on the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the zero section of $\mathcal{N}_S$ we know that $\mathcal{F}$ can be extended in a non involutive way. Therefore, if S splits, the characteristic classes $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ with ϕ is a symmetric polynomial of degree larger than $n-m-l+\lfloor l/2\rfloor$ are obstructions to find an extension of $\mathcal{F}$ as a non involutive subbundle of $\mathcal{T}_{S(1)}$. Known this, if the extension is involutive, also the characteristic classes $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ with ϕ a symmetric polynomial of degree larger $n-m-l$ and smaller than $n-m-l+\lfloor l/2\rfloor$ vanish. Therefore, in the splitting case, known that there is a non-involutive extension, the classes $\phi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M})$ where ϕ is a symmetric polynomial of degree larger $n-m-l$ and smaller than $n-m-l+\lfloor l/2\rfloor$ are obstructions to find an involutive extension.

Another interesting remark can follow from a simple example; we look at the procedure we built in section 4 in the case we have a rank 1 foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of a codimension 1 submanifold S in a complex surface M. We take an atlas adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$ for the normal bundle $\{V_\alpha\}$, supposing (14) splits and also an atlas $\{U_\alpha\}$ adapted to S and $\mathcal{F}$ for M; now, on the normal bundle in such an atlas we take as local lifts from $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on each V_α the maps

$$\tau_\alpha:\partial_{2,\alpha}\mapsto\frac{\partial}{\partial z^2_\alpha},$$

therefore, the obstruction to the splitting of the sequence is represented by $\{V_{\alpha\beta},\tau_\beta-\tau_\alpha\}$. Since the sequence splits we know there exists a cochain $\{V_\alpha,\sigma_\alpha\}$ belonging to $C^0(\{V_\alpha\},\mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}))$ such that $\{V_{\alpha\beta},\sigma_\beta-\sigma_\alpha\}=\{V_{\alpha\beta},\tau_\beta-\tau_\alpha\}$. The isomorphism between $\tilde{F}\simeq\mathcal{V}\oplus\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$ on $S_{N(1)}$ (the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the embedding of S as the zero section of the normal bundle) is then given on each V_α by

$$(v,w)\mapsto v+\tau_\alpha(w)-\sigma_\alpha(w).$$

Now, if the map σ_α is given in coordinates as $c_\alpha \cdot \omega^2_\alpha \otimes \partial/\partial v^1_\alpha$ the image of $\partial_{2,\alpha}$ is nothing else than

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^2_\alpha} - c_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial v^1_\alpha}.$$

Therefore, the local generators of the extension to the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M are given on each U_α (modulo rescaling) by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^2_\alpha} - c_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1_\alpha}.$$

As expected, recalling the computation of the residue in section 9 we see that, if $\mathcal{F}$ has an isolated singular point in U_α, the computation of the residue depends on the function c_α.

All these remarks stress how extending a holomorphic foliation is an important global problem: the results of our paper show how this problem is strictly connected with the residues and the characteristic classes of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F},M}$.

References

[ABT] M. Abate, F. Bracci and F. Tovena *Index theorems for holomorphic self-maps.* Ann. Math. 159, 819-864 (2004)

[ABT2] M. Abate, F. Bracci and F. Tovena *Index theorems for holomorphic maps and foliations.* Indiana Univ. Math J. vol. 57, issue 7, 2999-3048 (2008)

[ABT3] M. Abate, F. Bracci and F. Tovena *Embeddings of submanifolds and normal bundles* Advances in Mathematics 220, 620-656 (2009)

[Ati] M. F. Atiyah *Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 85, No. 1, 182-207 (1957)

[Br] F. Bracci *First order extensions of holomorphic foliations* Hokkaido Math. J., vol 33, No. 2, 473-490 (2004)

[Ca] C. Camacho *Dicritical singularities of holomorphic vector fields* Contemp. Math. 269, 3945 (2001)

[CaLe] C. Camacho, D. Lehmann *Residues of holomorphic foliations relative to a general submanifold* Bull. London Math. Soc. 37, 435445 (2005).

[CaMoSa] C. Camacho, H. Movasati, P. Sad *Fibered neighborhoods of curves in surfaces* J. Geom. Anal. 13, 5566 (2003).

[CaSa] C. Camacho, P. Sad *Invariant varieties through singularities of holomorphic vector fields* Ann. Math. 115, 579595 (1982)

[Ei] D. Eisenbud *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry* Springer Verlag, New York, 1995

[Gro] A. Grothendieck *A general theory of Fibre Spaces With Structure Sheaf* Report of the University of Kansas (unpublished version found at http://www.math.jussieu.fr/∼leila/grothendieckcircle/unpubtexts.php)

[Ho] T. Honda *Tangential index of foliations with curves on surfaces.* Hokkaido Math. J. 33(2), volume 33, 2004

[Lee] J. M. Lee *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds* Springer Verlag, New York, 2003

[LeSu1] D. Lehmann and T. Suwa *Generalization of variations and Baum-Bott residues for holomorphic foliations on singular varieties.* Internat. J. Math. 10, 367-384 (1999)

[LeSu2] D. Lehmann and T. Suwa *Residues of holomorphic vector fields relative to singular invariant subvarieties* J. Diff. Geom. 42, 165192 (1995)

[KaSu] B. Khanedani and T. Suwa *First variations of holomorphic forms and some applications.* Hokkaido Math. J. 26, 323-335 (1997)

[MS] J.W. Milnor and J. Stasheff, *Lectures on characteristic classes.* Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1957

[MY] Y. Mitera and J. Yoshizaki *The local analytical triviality of a complex analytic singular foliation.* Hokkaido Math. J. 33(2), volume 33, Hokkaido University, 275297, 2004

[Sa] K. Saito *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields* J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., 27(2), 265-291, (1980)

[Su] T. Suwa *Indices of vector fields and residues of singular holomorphic foliations.* Hermann, Paris (1998)

Dipartimento di Matematica, Largo B. Pontecorvo 5, I-56127 Pisa, Office +39 050 221 3237 Fax +39 050 221 3224

E-mail address: `nisoli@mail.dm.unipi.it`